# Kaon Flavour Physics strikes back



Andrzej J. Buras (Technical University Munich, TUM-IAS)





MIAPP TUM Oct. 2016



1

# **Overture**

### **Stars of KAON Flavour Physics**

$$\begin{split} \epsilon_{\kappa}, \Delta \mathbf{M}_{\kappa} & \epsilon' / \epsilon & \mathbf{K}^{+} \to \pi^{+} \nu \overline{\nu} & \mathbf{K}_{L} \to \pi^{0} \nu \overline{\nu} \\ \hline \mathbf{K}_{L} \to \mu^{+} \mu^{-} & \mathbf{K}_{L} \to \pi^{0} \mathbf{e}^{+} \mathbf{e}^{-} & \mathbf{K}_{L} \to \pi^{0} \mu^{+} \mu^{-} \end{split}$$

They all can give some information about very short distance scales but to identify new physics, correlations with  $B_{s,d}$  and D observables, EDMs, Lepton physics crucial

# In particular if we want to reach Zeptouniverse without any direct hints from the LHC



### **B** Physics Anomalies

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{D}^{(*)}} = \frac{\mathbf{Br}\left(\mathbf{B} \to \mathbf{D}^{(*)} \tau \nu_{\tau}\right)}{\mathbf{Br}\left(\mathbf{B} \to \mathbf{D}^{(*)} \mu \nu_{\mu}\right)} \qquad (\mathbf{3.5} - \mathbf{4\sigma})$$

BaBar, LHCb, Belle

$$\mathbf{R}_{\kappa} = \frac{\Gamma(\mathbf{B} \to \mathbf{K}\mu\mu)}{\Gamma(\mathbf{B} \to \mathbf{K}ee)} = 0.745 \begin{array}{c} +0.090 \\ -0.074 \end{array} \pm 0.036 \tag{2.6}{c}$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{B} \to \mathsf{K}(\mathsf{K}^{*})\mu^{+}\mu^{-}}{(\mathsf{B} \to \varphi\mu^{+}\mu^{-})} \qquad (3\sigma) \qquad (hadronic uncertainties)$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{Br} \left( \mathsf{B}_{\mathsf{S}} \to \mu^{+} \mu^{-} \right)_{\mathsf{SM}} = \left( 3.65 \pm 0.23 \right) \cdot 10^{-9} \\ & \mathsf{CMS} + \mathsf{LHCb} \ \left( 2.8 {}^{+0.7}_{-0.6} \right) \cdot 10^{-9}; \quad \mathsf{ATLAS} \ \left( 0.9 {}^{+1.1}_{-0.9} \right) \cdot 10^{-9} \end{split}$$

**B** Physics Anomalies

#### Many papers:

**Violation of lepton flavour universality** 

New flavour violating interactions:

Z', Leptoquarks, Vector-like quarks, General 2HDM, U(2), ...W', H<sup>+</sup>,...

But no particular signs of new sources of CP-violation!

**But:** Anomaly in CP-violation in K-physics ( $\epsilon'/\epsilon$ )

$$\varepsilon = CP$$
-violation in Decay (K<sub>L</sub>  $\rightarrow \pi\pi$ )

 $\varepsilon = \mathbf{CP}$ -violation in  $\mathbf{K}^{0} - \overline{\mathbf{K}}^{0}$  Mixing

### **B-Physics Flavour Anomalies**



Zugspitze

### 750 GeV Resonance



### **Kaon Flavour Physics**





## Section 1 ε΄/ε strikes back

#### 2015 Anatomy of $\epsilon^{\prime}\!/\epsilon$ : 1507.06345



AJB



AJB



Martin Gorbahn



Jean-Marc Gérard



Sebastian Jäger



Matthias Jamin

Large N news 1507.06326

FSI 1603.05686  $\varepsilon'/\varepsilon$  strikes back (CP-Violation in  $K_L \rightarrow \pi\pi$ )

## New results on hadronic matrix elements of QCD penguin (B<sub>6</sub>) and electroweak penguin (B<sub>8</sub>) operators



Z´general (AJB, Buttazzo, Knegjens, 1507.08672) Littlest Higgs Model (Blanke, AJB, Recksiegel, 1507.06316) 331 Models (AJB, De Fazio, 1512.02869,1604.02344) New Strategy (AJB, 1601.00005) Vector-like Quarks (Bobeth, AJB, Celis, Jung, 1609.04783)

#### Four dominant contributions to $\epsilon'/\epsilon$ in the SM

AJB, Jamin, Lautenbacher (1993); AJB, Gorbahn, Jäger, Jamin (2015)

$$\mathbf{Re}\left(\epsilon^{'}/\epsilon\right) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Im}\left(\mathbf{V}_{td}\mathbf{V}_{ts}^{*}\right) \\ \mathbf{1.4}\cdot\mathbf{10}^{-4} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{10}^{-4} \begin{bmatrix} -3.6 + 2\mathbf{1.4}\cdot\mathbf{B}_{6}^{(1/2)} + \mathbf{1.2} - \mathbf{10.4}\cdot\mathbf{B}_{8}^{(3/2)} \\ \mathbf{1.4}\cdot\mathbf{10}^{-4} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{10}^{-4} \begin{bmatrix} -3.6 + 2\mathbf{1.4}\cdot\mathbf{B}_{6}^{(1/2)} + \mathbf{1.2} - \mathbf{10.4}\cdot\mathbf{B}_{8}^{(3/2)} \\ \mathbf{1.4}\cdot\mathbf{10}^{-4} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{10}^{-4} \begin{bmatrix} -3.6 + 2\mathbf{1.4}\cdot\mathbf{B}_{6}^{(1/2)} + \mathbf{1.2} - \mathbf{10.4}\cdot\mathbf{B}_{8}^{(3/2)} \\ \mathbf{1.4}\cdot\mathbf{10}^{-4} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{10}^{-4} \begin{bmatrix} -3.6 + 2\mathbf{1.4}\cdot\mathbf{B}_{6}^{(1/2)} + \mathbf{1.2} - \mathbf{10.4}\cdot\mathbf{B}_{8}^{(3/2)} \\ \mathbf{1.4}\cdot\mathbf{10}^{-4} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{10}^{-4} \begin{bmatrix} -3.6 + 2\mathbf{1.4}\cdot\mathbf{B}_{6}^{(1/2)} + \mathbf{1.2} - \mathbf{10.4}\cdot\mathbf{B}_{8}^{(3/2)} \\ \mathbf{10}^{-4} \begin{bmatrix} -3.6 + 2\mathbf{1.4}\cdot\mathbf{B}_{6}^{(1/2)} + \mathbf{1.2} - \mathbf{10.4}\cdot\mathbf{B}_{8}^{(1/2)} \\ \mathbf{10}^{-4} \begin{bmatrix} -3.6 + 2\mathbf{1.4}\cdot\mathbf{B}_{6}^{(1/2)} + \mathbf{1.2} \\ \mathbf{10}^{-4} \begin{bmatrix} -3.6 + 2\mathbf{1.4}\cdot\mathbf{B}_{6}^{(1/2)} \\ \mathbf{10}^{-4} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{10$$

Assumes that  $ReA_0$  and  $ReA_2$  ( $\Delta I=1/2$  Rule) fully described by SM (includes isospin breaking corrections)

Extracted from  

$$B_{6}^{(1/2)} = B_{8}^{(3/2)} = 1 \text{ in the large N limit}$$
RBC-UKQCD :  $B_{6}^{(1/2)} = 0.57 \pm 0.19$   $B_{8}^{(3/2)} = 0.76 \pm 0.05$ 

Why 
$$B_6^{(1/2)} < B_8^{(3/2)} < 1$$
?

## and not $B_6^{(1/2)} > 1$ , $B_8^{(3/2)} < 1$ (Pallante, Pich... FSI 2000)

Answer in Large N (Dual QCD) Approach AJB + Gérard (1507.06326)

Before 2015 it was wrongly assumed that  $B_{c}^{(1/2)} = B_{c}^{(3/2)} = 1$  at  $\mu \approx 0(1 \text{ GeV})$ 

But 
$$B_6^{(1/2)} = B_8^{(3/2)} = 1$$
 is large N prediction  
for  $\mu = m_{\pi,K}$  not  $\mu = 0(1 \text{ GeV})$ 

Meson evolution  $m_{\pi,K} \rightarrow \mu = 0(1 \text{ GeV})$  suppresses  $B_6^{(1/2)}$  and  $B_8^{(3/2)}$  below 1 and  $B_6^{(1/2)}$  stronger than  $B_8^{(3/2)}$  in accordance with quark evolution for  $\mu > 1 \text{ GeV}$ 



#### AJB, Gérard 1603.05686



New application of dual QCD to  $K \rightarrow \pi l^+ l^-$ (Caluccio-Leskow, D'Ambrosio, Greynat, Nath, 1604.09721)

### **2016 Standard Model Results**

#### Teppei Kitahara



**Ulrich Nierste** 



**Paul Tremper** 



 $(\varepsilon'/\varepsilon)_{SM} = (1\pm 5)\cdot 10^{-4}$ **NLO** 

1607.06727

### First NNLO Result for $(\epsilon'/\epsilon)_{SM}$

Maria Cerda-Sevilla



Martin Gorbahn



Sebastian Jäger



Ahmet Kokulu



## **Section 2**

## $\epsilon_{\kappa} \leftrightarrow \Delta M_{s,d}$ tension in SM and CMFV

(1602.04020)



Monika Blanke



AJB

#### Universal Unitarity Triangle 2016

(CMFV)

AJB, Gambino, Gorbahn, Jäger, Silvestrini 0007085



### **Universal Unitarity Triangle 2016**



### Tensions between $\Delta M_{d,s}$ and $\epsilon_{K}$



### Intermezzo

# $K^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \nu \overline{\nu}$ and $K_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{o} \nu \overline{\nu}$

# in the Standard Model

1503.02693



AJB



**D.Buttazzo** 



J.Girrbach-Noe



**R.Knegjens** 

#### Waiting for $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \overline{\nu}$ and $K_{L} \rightarrow \pi \nu \overline{\nu}$



AJB, M. Lautenbacher, G. Ostermaier (9303284)

AJB, F. Schwab, S. Uhlig (0405132)

# $\mathbf{K}^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ v \overline{v}$ and $\mathbf{K}_L \rightarrow \pi^0 v \overline{v}$ in the SM



#### **CKM Uncertainties**

AJB, Buttazzo, Girrbach-Noe, Knegjens 1503.02693

$$Br(K^{+} \to \pi^{+} \nu \overline{\nu}) = (8.39 \pm 0.30) \cdot 10^{-11} \left[ \frac{|V_{cb}|}{0.0407} \right]^{2.8} \left[ \frac{\gamma}{73.2^{\circ}} \right]^{0.74}$$
$$Br(K_{L} \to \pi^{0} \nu \overline{\nu}) = (3.36 \pm 0.05) \cdot 10^{-11} \left[ \frac{|V_{ub}|}{3.88 \cdot 10^{-3}} \right]^{2} \left[ \frac{|V_{cb}|}{0.0407} \right]^{2} \left[ \frac{\sin \gamma}{\sin(73.2)} \right]^{2}$$

$$\begin{split} &\mathsf{Br}\Big(\mathsf{K}^{+}\to\pi^{+}\nu\overline{\nu}\Big) = \big(8.39\pm0.58\big)\cdot10^{-11} \bigg[\frac{\gamma}{73.2^{\circ}}\bigg]^{0.81} \Bigg[\frac{\overline{\mathsf{Br}}\big(\mathsf{B}_{s}\to\mu^{+}\mu^{-}\big)}{3.4\cdot10^{-9}}\Bigg]^{1.42} \bigg[\frac{227.7}{\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{B}_{s}}}\Bigg]^{2.84} \\ &\mathsf{Br}\big(\mathsf{K}^{+}\to\pi^{+}\nu\overline{\nu}\big) = \big(8.39\pm1.11\big)\cdot10^{-11} \Bigg[\frac{|\epsilon_{\mathsf{K}}|}{2.23\cdot10^{-3}}\Bigg]^{1.07} \bigg[\frac{\gamma}{73.2^{\circ}}\Bigg]^{-0.11} \bigg[\frac{\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{ub}}}{3.88\cdot10^{-3}}\Bigg]^{-0.95} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathsf{Br} \left( \mathsf{K}^{+} \to \pi^{+} \nu \overline{\nu} \right) = \left( 8.4 \pm 1.0 \right) \cdot 10^{-11} \\ &\mathsf{Br} \left( \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{L}} \to \pi^{0} \nu \overline{\nu} \right) = \left( 3.4 \pm 0.6 \right) \cdot 10^{-11} \end{aligned}$$

### **Section 3**

## $\varepsilon'/\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{\kappa}, \mathbf{K} \to \pi v \overline{\nu}, \Delta \mathbf{M}_{\kappa}$

### beyond SM

AJB (1601.00005)

# Section 3 ε΄/ε, ε<sub>κ</sub>, $K \rightarrow \pi v \overline{v}$ , $\Delta M_{K}$ beyond SM ΔJB (1601.00005)

What are the implications of NP in  $\varepsilon'/\varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon_{K}$  on  $K \rightarrow \pi v \overline{\nu}$  and  $\Delta M_{K}$ ?  $\epsilon'/\epsilon$  within SM

$$\epsilon'/\epsilon \sim \left[ \frac{\operatorname{Re} A_2}{\operatorname{Re} A_0} \operatorname{Im} C_6 \langle Q_6 \rangle_0 - \operatorname{Im} C_8 \langle Q_8 \rangle_2 + \text{ smaller contributions} \right]$$

$$\left\{ \frac{\operatorname{Re} A_2}{\operatorname{Re} A_0} \approx \frac{1}{22} \quad \frac{\operatorname{Im} C_6}{\operatorname{Im} C_8} \approx 90 \quad \frac{\langle Q_8 \rangle_2}{\langle Q_6 \rangle_0} \approx 2 \right\} \Rightarrow \text{ strong cancellations}$$

$$\epsilon'/\epsilon \text{ beyond SM} \quad \left( Q_6, Q_8, Q_6', Q_8' \right)$$

$$\left\{ \frac{\operatorname{Re} A_2}{\operatorname{Re} A_0} \otimes Q_8 \text{ wins over } Q_6 \text{ because} \left( \frac{\operatorname{Im} C_6}{\operatorname{Im} C_8} \right)^{\operatorname{NP}} \approx 0(1) \right\}$$

Q<sub>6</sub> wins over Q<sub>8</sub> in the presence of a flavour symmetry forbidding Q<sub>8</sub>





#### AJB (1601.00005)



### **Basic Structure of NP Contributions**

AJB (1601.00005)

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \varepsilon'/\varepsilon \right)^{\mathsf{NP}} &\to \mathsf{Im} & \varepsilon_{\mathsf{K}}^{\mathsf{NP}} \to \mathsf{Im} \cdot \mathsf{Re} \\ \left( \kappa_{\varepsilon'} \ge 0.5 \right) & \left( \kappa_{\varepsilon} \ge 0.1 \right) \\ \Delta \mathsf{M}_{\mathsf{K}}^{\mathsf{NP}} &\sim \left[ \left( \mathsf{Re} \right)^2 - \left( \mathsf{Im} \right)^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

Dominance of 
$$\mathbf{Q}_{6}(\mathbf{Q}_{6}) \Rightarrow \mathbf{Im} \gg \mathbf{Re} \Rightarrow \left\{ \Delta \mathbf{M}_{K}^{NP} < \mathbf{0} \right\}$$
 (Z')  
(large)

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Dominance of } \mathsf{Q}_{8}\left(\mathsf{Q}_{8}^{'}\right) \ \Rightarrow \ \mathsf{Re} \gg \mathsf{Im} \ \Rightarrow \ \left\{\Delta\mathsf{M}_{\mathsf{K}}^{\mathsf{NP}} > 0\right\} \quad (\mathsf{Z}/\mathsf{Z}) \\ (\text{small}) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Implications for} \quad \boxed{\mathsf{R}_{+}^{\mathsf{v}\overline{\mathsf{v}}} = \frac{\mathsf{Br}\left(\mathsf{K}^{+} \to \pi^{+}\mathsf{v}\overline{\mathsf{v}}\right)}{\mathsf{Br}\left(\mathsf{K}^{+} \to \pi^{+}\mathsf{v}\overline{\mathsf{v}}\right)_{\mathsf{SM}}} } \quad \boxed{\mathsf{R}_{0}^{\mathsf{v}\overline{\mathsf{v}}} = \frac{\mathsf{Br}\left(\mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{L}} \to \pi^{0}\mathsf{v}\overline{\mathsf{v}}\right)}{\mathsf{Br}\left(\mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{L}} \to \pi^{0}\mathsf{v}\overline{\mathsf{v}}\right)_{\mathsf{SM}}}}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Re}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathsf{NP}} = \frac{\mathsf{Re}(\mathsf{Re},\mathsf{Im})}{\mathsf{Re}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathsf{NP}}} = \frac{\mathsf{Re}(\mathsf{Re},\mathsf{Im})}{\mathsf{Re}_{\mathsf{N}}^{\mathsf{NP}}} \\ (\mathsf{Im}) \end{array}$$

### Lesson 1

# We need new sources of CP violation! 1508.08672

### Lesson 2

Tree-Level Z with LH or RH FCNC currents (Anticorrelation of  $\epsilon'/\epsilon$  and  $K_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \nu \overline{\nu}$ )  $K^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \nu \overline{\nu}$  can be significantly enhanced

LH
 
$$R_{+}^{\nu\bar{\nu}} < 2$$
 $Q_8$ 

 RH
  $R_{+}^{\nu\bar{\nu}} < 5.7$ 
 $Q_8$ 

Only small effects in  $\varepsilon_{K}$ ,  $\Delta M_{K}$  allowed because of  $K_{L} \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$  upper bounds

Isidori, Unterdorfer 0311084

### Lesson 3

Tree-Level Z with LH + RH FCNC currents  $\epsilon'/\epsilon, \epsilon_{\kappa}, K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \overline{\nu}$  and  $K_{L} \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \overline{\nu}$ can be simultaneously enhanced

### Lesson 4

Correlation between  $\epsilon'/\epsilon$ ,  $K \to \pi v \overline{\nu}$ in Z' scenarios depends on whether QCP Penguin (Q<sub>6</sub>) or EWP (Q<sub>8</sub>) dominates NP in  $\epsilon'/\epsilon$ 

Dominance of  $Q_6(Q_6') \Rightarrow Im >> Re \Rightarrow \{\Delta M_K^{NP} < 0\}$ Dominance of  $Q_8(Q_8') \Rightarrow Re >> Im \Rightarrow \{\Delta M_K^{NP} > 0\}$  Z with LH and RH Flavour Violating Couplings



QCD Penguin (Q<sub>6</sub>)



#### **Electroweak Penguin (Q<sub>8</sub>)**

(Z´)





### **Section 4**

### Highlights from 331, LHT, Vector-Like Quark Models, SUSY Models

#### $\varepsilon'/\epsilon + \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \pi v \overline{v}$ beyond SM



AJB



AJB



Fulvia de Fazio



Jennifer Girrbach-Noe



1404.3824,... 1311.6729



Dario Buttazzo



**Rob Knegjens** 

**Simplified NP Models** 1507.08672



Monika Blanke





**Stefan Recksiegel** 

LHT 1507.0631
## **Most Recent**



AJB



Fulvia de Fazio

331 models facing  $\Delta M_{s,d} \leftrightarrow \varepsilon_{\kappa}$  tension  $\varepsilon'/\varepsilon, B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-,$  $B \rightarrow K^* \mu^+\mu^-$ 

## **Model with Vektor-like Quarks**



Christoph Bobeth



AJB



Alejandro Celis



Martin Jung

## **331 Models Facing \varepsilon'/\varepsilon Anomaly**

AJB, De Fazio 1512.02869, 1604.02344

## $\kappa_{s} \leq 0.8$ (only 3 among 24 models can reach upper bound)



None of them can explain suppressions of  $C_9 (B \rightarrow K(K^*)\mu^+\mu^-) \text{ and } B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^$ simultaneously. None R<sub>k</sub>



Small NP effects in  $\mathbf{K}^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \overline{\nu}$ and  $\mathbf{K}_{1} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \nu \overline{\nu}$ 

## **Correlations in Favorite 331 Models**

#### (AJB+De Fazio, 1604.02344)



## LHT: Blanke, AJB, Recksiegel (1507.06316)



$$\begin{bmatrix} B_{6}^{(1/2)} = 1.0, & B_{8}^{(3/2)} = 0.76 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (Violates  
Large N bound)  
$$\begin{pmatrix} B_{6}^{(1/2)} = 1.0, & B_{8}^{(3/2)} = 1.0 \end{pmatrix}$$
  
$$\begin{pmatrix} B_{6}^{(1/2)} = 0.75, & B_{8}^{(3/2)} = 0.76 \end{pmatrix}$$
  
$$\begin{pmatrix} B_{6}^{(1/2)} = 0.57, & B_{8}^{(3/2)} = 0.76 \end{pmatrix}$$

## Supersymmetric Explanation of $\varepsilon'/\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon_{K}$

#### Teppei Kitahara



#### **Ulrich Nierste**



#### Paul Tremper 1604.07400



 $\epsilon'/\epsilon$  anomaly can be explained in the MSSM with squark masses above 3 TeV being consistent with  $\epsilon_{K}$  without fine-tuning of CP phases or other parameters.

## Other recent Studies of $\epsilon'/\epsilon$ , Rare K Decays

#### Motoi Endo



#### Satoshi Mishima





#### Kei Yamamoto



## 1608.01444

: Superpartners lighter than 4-6 TeV Correlations with other observables





#### Morimitsu Tanimoto



### 11 Vector-like Quark (VLQ) Models

Bobeth, AJB, Celis, Jung 1609.04783

(5) 
$$G_{SM} = SU(3)_C \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$$

(2) 
$$\left\{ \mathbf{G}_{SM}^{'}(\mathbf{S}) = \mathbf{G}_{SM} \otimes \mathbf{U}(1)_{\mathbf{L}_{\mu}-\mathbf{L}_{\tau}} \right\}$$

(4) 
$$\left( \mathbf{G}_{SM}^{'}(\Phi) = \mathbf{G}_{SM} \otimes \mathbf{U}(1)_{\mathbf{L}_{\mu}-\mathbf{L}_{\tau}} \right)$$

tree level Z ( $\Delta$ F = 1)Boxes ( $\Delta$ F = 2), VLQ

(Z<sup>´</sup>, boxes) Altmannshofer et al. (1403.1269)

Most interesting effects in G<sub>SM</sub> models: 5

5 free parameters in Yukawa couplings: Y<sub>i</sub> + M<sub>VLQ</sub>

• Large NP effects in 
$$\varepsilon'/\epsilon$$
,  $K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \overline{\nu}$ ,  $K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \overline{\nu}$ 

- Smaller but significant in  $B_{s,d} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$
- $\epsilon_{K}$ ,  $\Delta M_{s,d}$  tensions removed
- Combination of ∆F=2 and ∆F=1 observables allows to determine M<sub>VLQ</sub> independently of Y<sub>i</sub>
  - Unable to explain B → K\*I<sup>+</sup>I<sup>-</sup> anomalies (possible in G<sup>'</sup><sub>SM</sub>(S) but then other NP small)

Patterns dependent on LH and RH currents

### **Constraints on Yukawa Couplings (VLQ-Art)**





-0.2

-0.4 -0.4

-0.2

0.0

 $\operatorname{Re}(\Lambda_{bd})$ 

0.2

0.4





#### **Correlations between Observables in G<sub>SM</sub>**

(VLQ Models) BBCJ



Left-handed FCNCs

**Right-handed FCNCs** 

#### **Standard Model**

 $\epsilon/\epsilon$  - anomaly easily solved

 $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 v \overline{v}$  suppressed because of  $\epsilon' / \epsilon$ 

 $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \overline{\nu}$  only enhanced In the presence of RH currents (because of  $K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ ) **Open Questions for Coming Years** 



**New Anomalies in Flavour Physics (B, D, LFV)?** 

**New Particles discovered at the LHC?** 

What about  $\Delta I = 1/2$  Rule?



# Exciting Times are just ahead of us !!!

# Exciting Times are just ahead of us !!!



## **Anomalies in Kaon Flavour Physics**



## Backup



AJB, Buttazzo, Knegjens: hep-ph-1507.08672

## **New Physics Explanations of Anomalies**

#### Andreas Crivellin, 1605.02934



**RBC-UK QCD** 

$$\varepsilon'/\epsilon = (1.4 \pm 7.0) \cdot 10^{-4}$$

$$\left(\frac{\operatorname{Re}A_{0}}{\operatorname{Re}A_{2}}\right) = 31.0 \pm 6.6$$

$$(\epsilon'/\epsilon)_{exp} = (16.6 \pm 2.3) \cdot 10^{-4}$$

$$\left(\frac{\operatorname{Re} A_{0}}{\operatorname{Re} A_{2}}\right)_{exp} = 22.4$$

Large N

$$(\epsilon'/\epsilon) < (8.6 \pm 3.2) \cdot 10^{-4}$$

$$\left(\frac{\text{Re }A_0}{\text{Re }A_2}\right) = 16.0 \pm 1.5$$





 $\Delta \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{1}/\mathbf{2} \; \mathbf{Rule}$ 



 $\Delta \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{1}/\mathbf{2} \; \mathbf{Rule}$ 

## **Motivations for New Analysis**





$$Br(K^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \nu \overline{\nu}), Br(K_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \nu \overline{\nu})$$











## $K^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \nu \overline{\nu}$ and $K_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \nu \overline{\nu}$ in simplified NP Models

Review Mod. Phys.: AJB, Schwab, Uhlig (2008) (0405132) AJB, Buttazzo, Knegjens: hep-ph-1507.08672

- MFV : 20-30% effects, strong correlation between  $K^+$  and  $K_L$  (Z, Z)
- U(2)<sup>3</sup>: Larger effects in the absence of  $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$  constraint
  - Correlation depends on the presence or absence of  $\epsilon_K$  constraint, size on  $\epsilon'/\epsilon$ ,  $K_L \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$
- FCNCs Z :

No MFV:

- Enhancements by factors 2-3 over SM still possible ( $\epsilon'/\epsilon$  constraint important)
- FCNCs Z<sup>'</sup>: Still larger enhancements possible as  $\epsilon'/\epsilon$  constraint can be eliminated in a model independent analysis but not in specific models with known flavour diagonal quark couplings.

More info in BBK

see Rob Knegjens (Moriond) 1505.04928

## **Different Patterns of Flavour Violation**

**Z** with LH couplings:  $\Delta_{L}^{sd}$ (Z)

AJB (1601.00005)

 $(\mathbf{K}_{1} \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-} \text{ constraint})$ 

more important)

Unless

Q<sub>8</sub> EWP

Loop effects

important

No specific

correlation

- Anticorrelation of  $\epsilon'/\epsilon$  and  $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \overline{\nu}$
- Strong suppression of  $Br(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 v \overline{v})$
- $Br(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \overline{\nu}) \leq 2 Br(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \overline{\nu})^{SM}$
- NP effects in  $\Delta M_{\kappa}$  and  $\epsilon_{\kappa}$  very small .

**Z** with RH couplings:  $\Delta_{R}^{sd}(Z)$ 

- Anticorrelation of  $\varepsilon'/\varepsilon$  and  $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 v \overline{v}$
- Moderate suppression of  $Br(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \overline{\nu})$
- $Br(K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \overline{\nu}) \leq 6 Br(K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \overline{\nu})^{SM}$
- NP effects in  $\Delta M_{\kappa}$  and  $\epsilon_{\kappa}$  very small





Both tensions can only be clarified through improved  $|V_{ub}|$ ,  $|V_{cb}|$  + Lattice Input and improved measurement of  $S_{\psi K_s}$ 

**Correlations within SM** 

$$|\mathbf{B}_{s} \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}, \mathbf{K}^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\nu\overline{\nu}, \gamma|$$

$$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \overline{\nu}, K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \overline{\nu}, \beta$$

**BBGK (2015)** 

Buchalla, AJB (94)



**General Properties** 

- **1**  $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \overline{\nu}$  CP-conserving
- **Z**  $\mathbf{K}_{1} \rightarrow \pi^{\circ} \nu \overline{\nu}$  **CP-violating**



Both sensitive to New Physics (NP)  $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \overline{\nu}$  bounded by  $K_{L} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^ \mathbf{K}_{1} \rightarrow \pi^{0} v \overline{v}$  bounded by  $\epsilon' / \epsilon$ 



The correlation between  $\mathbf{K}^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \overline{\nu}$  and  $K_{I} \rightarrow \pi^{0} v \overline{v}$  depends on the  $\varepsilon_{\kappa}$  constraint (Blanke 0904.2528)



Can probe scales far above LHC.

Strategy B: use  $\epsilon_{K}$ ,  $\Delta M_{s}$ ,  $\Delta M_{d}$ ,  $S_{\psi K_{s}}$ 

$$||\mathbf{V}_{cb}| = (42.4 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}|$$

$$|V_{ub}| = (3.61 \pm 0.13) \cdot 10^{-3}$$

$$\gamma = (69.5 \pm 5.0)^{\circ} \implies \gamma = (70.8 \pm 2.3)^{\circ}$$

(after new lattice results for  $\xi$ )

$$Br(K^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \nu \overline{\nu}) = (9.1 \pm 0.7) \cdot 10^{-11}$$
$$Br(K_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \nu \overline{\nu}) = (3.0 \pm 0.3) \cdot 10^{-11}$$

UTfit : 
$$|V_{cb}| = (41.7 \pm 0.6) \cdot 10^{-3}$$
 $|V_{ub}| = (3.63 \pm 0.12) \cdot 10^{-3}$ CKMfitter :  $|V_{cb}| = (41.2 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-3}$  $|V_{ub}| = (3.55 \pm 0.16) \cdot 10^{-3}$ 

## New Bound on $B_6^{(1/2)}$ and $B_8^{(3/2)}$ from Large N

AJB + Gérard 1507.06326

$$B_6^{(1/2)} \le B_8^{(3/2)} < 1$$

**Using BGJJ formula** 

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathsf{B}_{6}^{(1/2)} = 1.0 & \mathsf{B}_{8}^{(3/2)} = 1.0 & \Rightarrow & \left(\epsilon^{'}/\epsilon\right)_{\mathsf{SM}} = 8.6 \cdot 10^{-4} \\ \mathsf{B}_{6}^{(1/2)} = 0.8 & \mathsf{B}_{8}^{(3/2)} = 0.8 & \Rightarrow & \left(\epsilon^{'}/\epsilon\right)_{\mathsf{SM}} = 6.4 \cdot 10^{-4} \\ \mathsf{B}_{6}^{(1/2)} = 0.6 & \mathsf{B}_{8}^{(3/2)} = 0.8 & \Rightarrow & \left(\epsilon^{'}/\epsilon\right)_{\mathsf{SM}} = 2.2 \cdot 10^{-4} \end{array}$$

For 
$$\operatorname{Im}(V_{ts}V_{td}^{*}) = 1.4 \cdot 10^{-4}$$

**Below data but positive** 

Yet still large uncertainties



 $\mathbf{K}^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \nu \overline{\nu}$ 

## **Error Budgets**



## Z´ outside the reach of the LHC

## **QCD** Penguin



**EWP Penguin** 

: Significant effects in rare decays only for  $q\bar{q}Z' \approx 0(10^{-2})$ 

## **Using Tree Level Determination of CKM**

$$\begin{vmatrix} V_{ub} |_{excl} = (3.72 \pm 0.14) \cdot 10^{-3} & |V_{cb}|_{excl} = (39.36 \pm 0.75) \cdot 10^{-3} \\ |V_{ub}|_{incl} = (4.40 \pm 0.25) \cdot 10^{-3} & |V_{cb}|_{incl} = (42.21 \pm 0.78) \cdot 10^{-3} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \begin{vmatrix} V_{ub} |_{avg} = (3.88 \pm 0.29) \cdot 10^{-3} & |V_{cb}|_{avg} = (40.7 \pm 1.4) \cdot 10^{-3} \\ \hline \\ \end{vmatrix}$$

#### Z with LH or RH Flavour Violating Couplings



## **Z' Scenarios with LH Couplings** $\Delta_{L}^{sd}$ **(Z')**

AJB (1601.00005)

Dominance of QCD Penguins (Q<sub>6</sub>) in  $\epsilon'/\epsilon$ 

- Strong correlation between K<sup>+</sup> and K<sub>L</sub> on the branch parallel to GN bound
- Very large effects in K<sub>L</sub>, moderate in K<sup>+</sup>
- $(\Delta M_{\kappa})^{NP} < 0$  (could be 20%)

 $\epsilon_{\rm K}$  anomaly can be solved

Dominance of electroweak Penguins ( $Q_8$ ) in  $\epsilon'/\epsilon$ 

Pattern for  $\Delta_{\rm R}^{q\bar{q}}(Z') \approx 0(1)$ in  $\epsilon'/\epsilon$  Both enhanced but anticorrelated

 $\mathbf{K}_{\mathsf{L}} \mathbf{\hat{\Pi}} \quad \mathbf{K}^{+} \mathbf{\bigcup} \quad \text{with } \mathbf{\kappa}_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{\hat{\Pi}}$ 

(K<sup>+</sup>  $\uparrow$  with  $\kappa_{\epsilon}$   $\uparrow$ ) Only (20-40)% effects

•  $(\Delta M_{K})^{NP} > 0$  (below 10%)

 $\epsilon_{\rm K}$  anomaly can be solved

$$M_{z'} = 3 \text{ TeV}$$
## **Z** with LH and RH Couplings $\Delta_{L,R}^{sd}$ (Z)



(no dependence on  $\kappa_{E}$ )

Correlation between K<sub>L</sub> and K<sup>+</sup> On the branch parallel to Grossmann-Nir Bound

## Can we reach Zeptouniverse through Rare K and B Decays?

(Z´)

AJB, Buttazzo, Girrbach-Noe, Knegjens, 1408.0728

If only left-handed or only right-handed couplings present in NP : Only with rare K Decays :  $B_s \sim 15$  TeV,  $B_d \sim 15$  TeV

If both LH and RH present but  $g_L^{ij} \ll g_R^{ij}$  or  $g_L^{ij} >> g_R^{ij}$   $\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{K} \rightarrow \pi \nu \overline{\nu} \colon \Lambda_{\mathsf{NP}}^{\mathsf{max}} \simeq 2000 \; \mathsf{TeV} \\ \mathsf{B}_{\mathsf{d}} & : \Lambda_{\mathsf{NP}}^{\mathsf{max}} \simeq \; \mathsf{160} \; \mathsf{TeV} \\ \mathsf{B}_{\mathsf{s}} & : \Lambda_{\mathsf{NP}}^{\mathsf{max}} \simeq \; \mathsf{160} \; \mathsf{TeV} \end{array}$ 



Heavy Z´ at Work

AJB, Buttazzo, Girrbach-Noe, Knegjens, 1408.0728



## Can we reach Zeptouniverse through S and P

AJB, Buttazzo, Girrbach-Noe, Knegjens, 1408.0728

Yes : 
$$B_{s,d} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$$



S : ≈ 350 TeV P : ≈ 700 TeV Pseudoscalars more powerful than scalars because of the interference with SM contribution

Similar to  $K \rightarrow \pi v \overline{v}$  (Z'): No tuning neccessary to reach Zeptouniverse

