# 

### What's next in Left-Right

Jonathan Kriewald Jožef Stefan Institut Bled 2024

With Miha Nemevšek and Fabrizio Nesti: 2403.07756

Jonathan Kriewald

19/06/2024

# **IJS**

#### The Standard Model – A success story



#### 19/06/2024

### Strong arguments in f(l)avour of New Physics!

Observations unaccounted for in SM:  $\nu$ -oscillations, Dark matter,

baryon asymmetry of the Universe

(also some theoretical caveats...)

How to unveil the NP model at work?

⇒Test SM symmetries with flavour observables:
(c)LFV, lepton flavour universality violation, ...

 $\nu$ -oscillations 1st laboratory evidence of New Physics!

New mechanism of mass generation? Majorana fields?
 New sources of CP violation?

Several experimental puzzles remain:

- Absolute mass scale?
- Mass ordering? (NO vs IO)
- CP violation maximal?



### Making neutrino masses

Neutrinos oscillate ⇒ neutral lepton flavour violated, neutrinos are massive, new sources of CPV?

Extend SM to accommodate  $\nu_{\alpha} \nleftrightarrow \nu_{\beta}$ : ad-hoc 3  $\nu_R$   $\Rightarrow$  Dirac masses, "SM<sub>m<sub>v</sub></sub>", U<sub>PMNS</sub> In SM<sub>m<sub>v</sub></sub>: flavour-universal lepton couplings, lepton number conserved cLFV possible ... but not observable! BR( $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ )  $\propto |\sum U_{\mu i}^* U_{e i} m_{\nu_i}^2 / m_W^2| \simeq 10^{-54}$ EDMs still tiny... (2-loop from  $\delta_{CP}$ ,  $|d_{\ell}| \sim 10^{-35} ecm$ )  $W^{-1}$ 

 $U_{jk}^*$ 

 $\nu_L$ 

### Making neutrino masses

Neutrinos oscillate ⇒ neutral lepton flavour violated, neutrinos are massive, new sources of CPV?

Extend SM to accommodate  $\nu_{\alpha} \nleftrightarrow \nu_{\beta}$ : ad-hoc 3  $\nu_R \Rightarrow$  Dirac masses, "SM<sub>m</sub>,", U<sub>PMNS</sub> In SM<sub>m</sub>: flavour-universal lepton couplings, lepton number conserved cLFV possible ... but not observable! BR( $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ )  $\propto |\sum U_{\mu i}^* U_{e i} m_{\nu_i}^2 / m_W^2| \simeq 10^{-54}$ EDMs still tiny... (2-loop from  $\delta_{CP}$ ,  $|d_{\ell}| \sim 10^{-35} ecm$ )

Nothing forbids an additional mass term of the form  $\mathscr{L} \supseteq \frac{m_{RR}}{2} \bar{\nu}_R \nu_R^C$ !

 $\Rightarrow$  Neutrinos become Majorana particles – also SM-like neutrinos:  $\mathscr{L}_{eff} \sim \frac{m_{LL}}{2} \bar{\nu}_L \nu_L^C$ 

## : IJS

### Making neutrino masses

Effective mass term  $\mathscr{L}_{eff} \sim \frac{m_{LL}}{2} \bar{\nu}_L \nu_L^C$  from Weinberg operator:  $\mathscr{L}^{d=5} \sim \frac{h_{ij}}{2\Lambda} (HL_i HL_j)$ Different realisations:  $\mathcal{O}_{typeI}^5 \sim (L_i^T H)(L_j^T H), \mathcal{O}_{typeII}^5 \sim (L_i^T \sigma_a L_j)(H^T \sigma_a H), \mathcal{O}_{typeIII}^5 \sim (L_i^T \sigma_a H)(L_j^T \sigma_a H)$ Type II (scalar triplet) Type III (fermion triplet) **Type I** (fermion singlet) Mass terms:  $m_{\nu}^{I} \sim -v^{2} Y_{\nu}^{T} \frac{1}{M_{p}} Y_{\nu}$ ,  $m_{\nu}^{II} \sim -v^{2} Y_{\Delta} \frac{\mu_{\Delta}}{M_{\Delta}^{2}} \sim -Y_{\Delta} v_{\Delta}$ ,  $m_{\nu}^{III} \sim -Y_{\Sigma}^{T} \frac{v^{2}}{2M_{\Sigma}} Y_{\Sigma}$ 

Countless more possibilities with higher odd-dimensional operators or loop-level realisations...

(Actually they are countable, see e.g. [John Gargalionis and Ray Volkas: 2009.13537]

### Making neutrino masses

Mechanisms of  $m_{\nu}$  generation: account for oscillation data

and ideally address SM issues – BAU (leptogenesis), DM candidates, strong CP, hierarchy,...

Many well motivated possibilities, featuring distinct NP states (singlets, triplets)

Realised at very different scales  $\Lambda_{\rm EW} \rightarrow \Lambda_{\rm GUT}$ 

⇒ Expect very different phenomenological impact Compare "vanilla" type I seesaw vs. low-scale seesaw:

 $O(10^{10-15} \text{ GeV})$ Low scale:  $\mathcal{O}(MeV - TeV)$ High scale: Theoretically "natural"  $Y^{\nu} \sim 1$ Finetuning of  $Y^{\nu}$  (or approximate LN conservation) "Vanilla" leptogenesis Leptogenesis possible (resonant, ...) **Decoupled** new states New states within experimental reach! Collider, high-intensities ("leptonic observables")

⇒ low-scale seesaws (and variants): non-decoupled states, modified lepton currents!  $\Rightarrow$  rich phenomenology at colliders, high intensities and low energies testability!!

(Also expect tight constraints)

### **Introducing Left-Right: Motivation**

Features:

Mohapatra, Senjanović '75

- Combination of type I & type II seesaw mechanism, new states  $\sim O(\text{TeV})$
- Can address the strong CP problem (see e.g. [2107.10852])
- Lightest right-handed neutrino can be a Dark Matter candidate [2312.00129]
- Low(ish)-scale leptogenesis can be implemented [C. Hati et al. '18]
- ▶ Left-right symmetry  $\mathscr{G}_{LR} = SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R \otimes U(1)_{B-L}$

appears in the breaking of GUTs, e.g.:

 $SO(10) \rightarrow SU(4)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R \otimes U(1)_{B-L} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{LR} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{SM}$ 

# **IJS**

9

### Introducing Loft\_Dight. Motivation

**Feature** 

#### These core ideas can be realized in *many* ways!

Minkowski ('77); Yanagida ('79); Glashow & Levy ('80); Gell-Mann et al., ('80); Mohapatra & Senjanović ('82); + many others



# JS

### **Introducing Left-Right: Motivation**

Features:

Mohapatra, Senjanović '75

 $\triangleright$  Combination of type I & type II seesaw mechanism, new states  $\sim O(\text{TeV})$ 



appears in the breaking of GUTs, e.g.:

 $SO(10) \rightarrow SU(4)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R \otimes U(1)_{R-L} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{LR} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{SM}$ 

# 

### Introducing Left-Right: Motivation

Features:

Mohapatra, Senjanović '75

- Combination of type I & type II seesaw mechanism, new states  $\sim O(\text{TeV})$
- Can address the strong CP problem (see e.g. [2107.10852])
- Lightest right-handed neutrino can be a Dark Matter candidate [2312.00129]
- Low(ish)-scale leptogenesis can be implemented [C. Hati et al. '18]
- ▶ Left-right symmetry  $\mathscr{G}_{LR} = SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R \otimes U(1)_{B-L}$

appears in the breaking of GUTs, e.g.:

 $SO(10) \rightarrow SU(4)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R \otimes U(1)_{B-L} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{LR} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{SM}$ 

# 

### Introducing Left-Right: Motivation

Features:

Mohapatra, Senjanović '75

- Combination of type I & type II seesaw mechanism, new states  $\sim O(\text{TeV})$
- Can address the strong CP problem (see e.g. [2107.10852])
- Lightest right-handed neutrino can be a Dark Matter candidate [2312.00129]
- Low(ish)-scale leptogenesis can be implemented [C. Hati et al. '18]
- ▶ Left-right symmetry  $\mathscr{G}_{LR} = SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R \otimes U(1)_{B-L}$

appears in the breaking of GUTs, e.g.:

 $SO(10) \rightarrow SU(4)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R \otimes U(1)_{B-L} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{LR} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{SM}$ 

Or can appear spontaneously by gauging parity: [A. Maeizza and F. Nesti 2111.11076]



### **Introducing Left-Right: Motivation**

Goals:

- Go back to the diagonalisation of the Lagrangian beyond simplifying assumptions
- Cast all Lagrangian parameters in physical and measurable parameters
- Include NLO QCD Corrections
- Implementation in Feynrules for more precise signal modelling in Collider

physics

With Miha Nemevšek and Fabrizio Nesti: 2403.07756

See also: <a href="https://sites.google.com/site/leftrighthep">https://sites.google.com/site/leftrighthep</a>

### Introducing Left-Right: Model overview

SM Gauge group is extended:  $\mathscr{G}_{LR} = SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R \otimes U(1)_{B-L}$ 

**Right-handed** SM fermion singlets are promoted to  $SU(2)_R$ -doublets  $\Rightarrow$  Add RH neutrinos,  $U(1)_{R-L}$ -anomalies automatically cancelled

Scalar sector: different (minimal) possibilities, bi-doublet + 2 doublets or (like here) bi-doublet + 2 triplets

Physical spectrum: SM +  $N_R$ ,  $W_R^{\pm}$ ,  $Z_R$ ,  $\Delta_{R,L}^{\pm\pm}$ ,  $\Delta_L^+$ ,  $\Delta_L^0$ ,  $\chi_L^0$ ,  $\Delta_R^0$ ,  $A^0$ ,  $H^0$ ,  $H^{\pm}$ 



Field content:  $\mathscr{G}_{LR} = SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes SU(2)_R \otimes U(1)_{B-L}$ Fermions:  $Q_{L,R} = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}_{I,R}$ ,  $L_{L,R} \begin{pmatrix} \nu \\ \ell \end{pmatrix}$  (3 generations)

Gauge Fields:  $SU(2)_{L,R}$ -gauge fields,  $A_{L,R} = A^a_{L,R} \frac{\sigma^a}{2}$ ,  $A^{\pm}_{L,R} = \frac{A^{\pm}_{L,R} \mp i A^{\pm}_{L,R}}{\sqrt{2}}$ 

 $U(1)_{B-L}$ -gauge field **B** + QCD  $SU(3)_c$ 

(Complex) Scalar Fields:  $SU(2)_{L,R}$ -gauge triplets,  $\Delta_{L,R} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\Delta^+}{\sqrt{2}} & \Delta^{++} \\ \frac{\Delta^0}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{\Delta^+}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $(1,3,1,2)_L$  &  $(1,1,3,2)_R$ 

$$SU(2)_{L,R}$$
-gauge bi-doublet,  $\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^{0^*} & \phi_2^+ \\ \phi_1^- & \phi_2^0 \end{pmatrix}$ , (1, 2, 2, 0)

Electrical charge: 
$$Q = T_L^3 + T_R^3 + \frac{B-L}{2}$$

# 

### Diagonalising the Lagrangian: Gauge sector

Higgs Mechanism: Scalar multiplets acquire vevs at

$$\langle \phi \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & 0\\ 0 & -e^{i\alpha}v_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \Delta_{L,R} \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0\\ v_{L,R} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } v = \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2} = 246 \text{ GeV}, \quad \tan\beta = \frac{v_2}{v_1}$$

Leading to masses for gauge bosons from the scalar-kinetic terms:

$$\mathscr{L}_{kin} = |D\phi|^{2} + |D\Delta_{L}|^{2} + |D\Delta_{R}|^{2}$$
$$D^{\mu}\phi = \partial^{\mu} - ig(A_{L}^{\mu}\phi - \phi A_{R}^{\mu})$$
$$D^{\mu}\Delta_{L,R} = \partial\Delta_{L,R} - ig[A_{L,R}^{\mu}, \Delta_{L,R}] - ig'B^{\mu}\Delta_{L,R}$$

(Order of fields matters due to matrix representation)

Manifest left-right model:  $g_L = g_R \equiv g$  leads to conservation of  $\mathscr{C}$  or  $\mathscr{P}$ (more on this later)

# 

### Diagonalising the Lagrangian: Gauge sector

Charged gauge fields mass matrix:

$$(A_L^-, A_R^-) M_{W_{L,R}}^2 \begin{pmatrix} A_L^+ \\ A_R^+ \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow M_{W_{L,R}}^2 = \frac{g^2}{2} v_R^2 \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^2 & e^{-i\alpha} \epsilon^2 \sin 2\beta \\ e^{i\alpha} \epsilon^2 \sin 2\beta & 2 + \epsilon^2 \end{pmatrix} \epsilon = \frac{v}{v_R}$$

 $M_{W_{L,R}}$  is diagonalised with a **unitary** rotation:

with 
$$U_W = \begin{pmatrix} c_{\xi} & s_{\xi}e^{-i\alpha} \\ -s_{\xi}e^{i\alpha} & c_{\xi} \end{pmatrix}$$

and 
$$s_{\xi} \simeq \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} s_{2\beta} \simeq \frac{M_{W_L}^2}{M_{W_R}^2}$$
 (Up to  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$ )

 $(A_L^+)$ 

The mass eigenvalues then become:

$$M_{W_L} \simeq \frac{gv}{\sqrt{2}}, \ M_{W_R} = gv_R\left(1 + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}\right)$$

Mixing controlled by  $\beta = \arctan(v_2/v_1)$ 

Input parameters:  $M_{W_L}$ ,  $M_{W_R}$ ,  $\tan\beta$ ,  $\alpha$ , g

### Diagonalising the Lagrangian: Gauge sector

$$(A_{3L}, A_{3R}, B) M_{Z_{L,R}}^{2} \begin{pmatrix} A_{3L} \\ A_{3R} \\ B \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow M_{Z_{L,R}}^{2} = \frac{g^{2}}{2} v_{R}^{2} \begin{pmatrix} e^{2} & -e^{2} & 0 \\ -e^{2} & 4 + e^{2} & -4r \\ 0 & -4r & 4r^{2} \end{pmatrix} \qquad r = \frac{g}{g}^{2}$$

 $M_{Z_{\!L\!,\!R}}$  is diagonalised with an orthogonal rotation:

on: 
$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{3L} \\ A_{3R} \\ B \end{pmatrix} = O_Z \begin{pmatrix} A \\ Z_L \\ Z_R \end{pmatrix}$$

The mass eigenvalues then become:

$$M_{A} = 0, \ M_{Z_{L}} = \frac{gv}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{1 + 2r^{2}}}}, \ \text{(Up to } \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2}))$$
$$M_{Z_{R}} \simeq gv_{R}\sqrt{2(1 + r^{2})} \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{8(1 + r^{2})^{2}}\right)$$

#### Mixing is fixed:

$$O_Z = \begin{pmatrix} s_w & -c_w & 0\\ s_w & s_w t_w & -\frac{\sqrt{c_{2w}}}{c_w}\\ \sqrt{c_{2w}} & \sqrt{c_{2w}} t_w & t_w \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{c_{2w}^{3/2}}{c_w^3}\\ 0 & -\frac{c_{2w}^2}{c_w^5} & -\frac{c_{2w}^{3/2} t_w^2}{c_w^3}\\ 0 & \frac{c_{2w}^{3/2} t_w}{c_w^4} & -\frac{c_{2w}^2 t_w}{c_w^4} \end{pmatrix}.$$

#### 19/06/2024

## Diagonalising the Lagrangian: Gauge sector $M_A = 0, \ M_{Z_L} \simeq \frac{gv}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{1 + 2r^2}}},$

From the mass eigenvalues:

$$M_{Z_R} \simeq g v_R \sqrt{2(1+r^2)} \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon^2}{8(1+r^2)^2}\right)$$

We can fix  $c_w$  and therefore r in the on-shell scheme:

Using  $M_{W_L}$ ,  $M_{Z_L}$  and g as input parameters:

$$c_w = \frac{M_{W_L}}{M_{Z_L}} \Rightarrow r = \frac{s_w}{\sqrt{c_{2w}}}$$

$$\simeq \sqrt{\frac{M_{W_L}^2}{2M_{W_L}^2 - M_{Z_L}^2} - 1} \simeq 0.63$$

$$\Rightarrow M_{Z_R} = \frac{\epsilon^2 M_{W_R}}{4\sqrt{2}} \frac{M_{W_L}^2}{(2M_{W_L}^2 - M_{Z_L}^2)^{3/2}} + \sqrt{2} \frac{M_{W_R}}{2M_{W_L}^2 - M_{Z_L}^2} \simeq 1.67M_{W_R}$$

### Diagonalising the Lagrangian: Gauge sector

Input parameters:  $M_{Z_L}$ ,  $M_{W_L}$ ,  $M_{W_R}$ ,  $\tan\beta$ ,  $\alpha$ , g

 $M_{W_L}, M_{Z_L}$  and g take their measured values and define  $s_w, \alpha_e$ , etc...  $M_{W_R}$  is limited by direct searches to be  $M_{W_R} \gtrsim 6 \text{ TeV}$ 

Measurements of the neutron EDM  $d_n$  limit  $\sin \alpha \tan 2\beta \leq 5.8 \times 10^{-12}$  [2107.10852]

$$d_n \propto \bar{\theta} \simeq \frac{m_t}{2m_b} \sin \alpha \tan 2\beta$$

Dominant decay channels:  $\text{BR}(W_R^{\pm} \to q_u q_d) \simeq 75\%$ ,  $\text{BR}(W_R^{\pm} \to \ell^{\pm} N) \simeq 24\%$ 

 $BR(Z_R \rightarrow q\bar{q}) \simeq 55\%$ ,  $BR(Z_R \rightarrow NN) \simeq 17\%$ ,  $BR(Z_R \rightarrow W_L^+ W_L^- h) \simeq 12\%$ 

(mg5\_aMC with default parameters)

### Diagonalising the Lagrangian: Scalar sector Scalars are complex

$$\Rightarrow \Delta_{L,R} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\Delta_{L,R}^{+}}{\sqrt{2}} & \Delta_{L,R}^{++} \\ v_{L,R} + \operatorname{Re}\Delta_{L,R}^{0} + i\operatorname{Im}\Delta_{L,R}^{0} & -\frac{\Delta_{L,R}^{+}}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \phi = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 + \operatorname{Re}\phi_1^0 - i\operatorname{Im}\phi_1^0 & \phi_2^+ \\ \phi_1^- & v_2 + \operatorname{Re}\phi_2^0 + i\operatorname{Im}\phi_2^0 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  some of the **pseudo-scalar** excitations are eaten by the (massive) gauge bosons The most general  $\mathscr{P}$ - (and  $\mathscr{C}$ -) symmetric potential is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{P} : \phi \to \phi^{\dagger}, \ \Delta_{L} \leftrightarrow \Delta_{R} & \mathscr{C} : \phi \to \phi^{T}, \ \Delta_{L} \leftrightarrow \Delta_{R}^{*} \\ & \mathcal{V} = -\mu_{1}^{2} \left[ \phi^{\dagger} \phi \right] - \mu_{2}^{2} \left( \left[ \tilde{\phi} \phi^{\dagger} \right] + \left[ \tilde{\phi}^{\dagger} \phi \right] \right) - \mu_{3}^{2} \left( \left[ \Delta_{L} \Delta_{L}^{\dagger} \right] + \left[ \Delta_{R} \Delta_{R}^{\dagger} \right] \right) \\ & + \lambda_{1} \left[ \phi^{\dagger} \phi \right]^{2} + \lambda_{2} \left( \left[ \tilde{\phi} \phi^{\dagger} \right]^{2} + \left[ \tilde{\phi}^{\dagger} \phi \right]^{2} \right) + \lambda_{3} \left[ \tilde{\phi} \phi^{\dagger} \right] \left[ \tilde{\phi}^{\dagger} \phi \right] + \lambda_{4} \left[ \phi^{\dagger} \phi \right] \left( \left[ \tilde{\phi} \phi^{\dagger} \right] + \left[ \tilde{\phi}^{\dagger} \phi \right] \right) \\ & + \rho_{1} \left( \left[ \Delta_{L} \Delta_{L}^{\dagger} \right]^{2} + \left[ \Delta_{R} \Delta_{R}^{\dagger} \right]^{2} \right) + \rho_{2} \left( \left[ \Delta_{L} \Delta_{L} \right] \left[ \Delta_{L}^{\dagger} \Delta_{L}^{\dagger} \right] + \left[ \Delta_{R} \Delta_{R} \right] \left[ \Delta_{R} \Delta_{R}^{\dagger} \right] \right) + \rho_{3} \left[ \Delta_{L} \Delta_{L}^{\dagger} \right] \left[ \Delta_{R} \Delta_{R}^{\dagger} \right] \\ & + \rho_{4} \left( \left[ \Delta_{L} \Delta_{L} \right] \left[ \Delta_{R}^{\dagger} \Delta_{R}^{\dagger} \right] + \left[ \Delta_{L}^{\dagger} \Delta_{L}^{\dagger} \right] \left[ \Delta_{R} \Delta_{R} \right] \right) + \alpha_{1} \left[ \phi^{\dagger} \phi \right] \left( \left[ \Delta_{L} \Delta_{L}^{\dagger} \right] + \left[ \Delta_{R} \Delta_{R}^{\dagger} \right] \right) \\ & + \left( \alpha_{2} \left( \left[ \tilde{\phi} \phi^{\dagger} \right] \left[ \Delta_{L} \Delta_{L}^{\dagger} \right] + \left[ \tilde{\phi}^{\dagger} \phi \right] \left[ \Delta_{R} \Delta_{R}^{\dagger} \right] \right) + h.c. \right) + \alpha_{3} \left( \left[ \phi \phi^{\dagger} \Delta_{L} \Delta_{L}^{\dagger} \right] + \left[ \phi^{\dagger} \phi \Delta_{R} \Delta_{R}^{\dagger} \right] \right) \\ & + \beta_{1} \left( \left[ \phi \Delta_{R} \phi^{\dagger} \Delta_{L}^{\dagger} \right] + \left[ \phi^{\dagger} \Delta_{L} \phi \Delta_{R}^{\dagger} \right] \right) + \beta_{2} \left( \left[ \tilde{\phi} \Delta_{R} \phi^{\dagger} \Delta_{L}^{\dagger} \right] + \left[ \tilde{\phi}^{\dagger} \Delta_{L} \phi \Delta_{R}^{\dagger} \right] \right) + \beta_{3} \left( \left[ \phi \Delta_{R} \tilde{\phi}^{\dagger} \Delta_{L}^{\dagger} \right] + \left[ \phi^{\dagger} \Delta_{L} \tilde{\phi} \Delta_{R}^{\dagger} \right] \end{aligned}$$

In the case of  $\mathscr{C}$ , additional phases appear:

 $\Rightarrow$  the parameters  $\mu_2, \lambda_2, \lambda_4, \rho_4$  and  $\beta_i$  can now be complex, in  $\mathscr{P}$  only  $\alpha_2$  carries the phase  $\delta_2$ 

# 

### **Diagonalising the Lagrangian: Scalar sector**

The most general  $\mathscr{P}$ - (and  $\mathscr{C}$ -) symmetric potential is given by:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{V} &= -\mu_1^2 \left[ \phi^{\dagger} \phi \right] - \mu_2^2 \left( \left[ \tilde{\phi} \phi^{\dagger} \right] + \left[ \tilde{\phi}^{\dagger} \phi \right] \right) - \mu_3^2 \left( \left[ \Delta_L \Delta_L^{\dagger} \right] + \left[ \Delta_R \Delta_R^{\dagger} \right] \right) \\ &+ \lambda_1 \left[ \phi^{\dagger} \phi \right]^2 + \lambda_2 \left( \left[ \tilde{\phi} \phi^{\dagger} \right]^2 + \left[ \tilde{\phi}^{\dagger} \phi \right]^2 \right) + \lambda_3 \left[ \tilde{\phi} \phi^{\dagger} \right] \left[ \tilde{\phi}^{\dagger} \phi \right] + \lambda_4 \left[ \phi^{\dagger} \phi \right] \left( \left[ \tilde{\phi} \phi^{\dagger} \right] + \left[ \tilde{\phi}^{\dagger} \phi \right] \right) \\ &+ \rho_1 \left( \left[ \Delta_L \Delta_L^{\dagger} \right]^2 + \left[ \Delta_R \Delta_R^{\dagger} \right]^2 \right) + \rho_2 \left( \left[ \Delta_L \Delta_L \right] \left[ \Delta_L^{\dagger} \Delta_L^{\dagger} \right] + \left[ \Delta_R \Delta_R \right] \left[ \Delta_R^{\dagger} \Delta_R^{\dagger} \right] \right) + \rho_3 \left[ \Delta_L \Delta_L^{\dagger} \right] \left[ \Delta_R \Delta_R^{\dagger} \right] \\ &+ \rho_4 \left( \left[ \Delta_L \Delta_L \right] \left[ \Delta_R^{\dagger} \Delta_R^{\dagger} \right] + \left[ \Delta_L^{\dagger} \Delta_L^{\dagger} \right] \left[ \Delta_R \Delta_R \right] \right) + \alpha_1 \left[ \phi^{\dagger} \phi \right] \left( \left[ \Delta_L \Delta_L^{\dagger} \right] + \left[ \Delta_R \Delta_R^{\dagger} \right] \right) \\ &+ \left( \alpha_2 \left( \left[ \tilde{\phi} \phi^{\dagger} \right] \left[ \Delta_L \Delta_L^{\dagger} \right] + \left[ \tilde{\phi}^{\dagger} \phi \right] \left[ \Delta_R \Delta_R^{\dagger} \right] \right) + \text{h.c.} \right) + \alpha_3 \left( \left[ \phi \phi^{\dagger} \Delta_L \Delta_L^{\dagger} \right] + \left[ \phi^{\dagger} \phi \Delta_R \Delta_R^{\dagger} \right] \right) \\ &+ \beta_1 \left( \left[ \phi \Delta_R \phi^{\dagger} \Delta_L^{\dagger} \right] + \left[ \phi^{\dagger} \Delta_L \phi \Delta_R^{\dagger} \right] \right) + \beta_2 \left( \left[ \tilde{\phi} \Delta_R \phi^{\dagger} \Delta_L^{\dagger} \right] + \left[ \tilde{\phi}^{\dagger} \Delta_L \phi \Delta_R^{\dagger} \right] \right) + \beta_3 \left( \left[ \phi \Delta_R \tilde{\phi}^{\dagger} \Delta_L^{\dagger} \right] + \left[ \phi^{\dagger} \Delta_L \tilde{\phi} \Delta_R^{\dagger} \right] \end{split}$$

The minimisation conditions  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial S_i} = 0$  and  $\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{V}}{\partial S_i \partial S_i} > 0$  lead us to:

$$\begin{split} \mu_{1}^{2} &= 2\left(\lambda_{1} + s_{2\beta}c_{\alpha}\lambda_{4}\right)v^{2} + \left(\alpha_{1} - \alpha_{3}\frac{s_{\beta}^{2}}{c_{2\beta}}\right)v_{R}^{2},\\ \mu_{2}^{2} &= \left(s_{2\beta}\left(2c_{2\alpha}\lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3}\right) + \lambda_{4}\right)v^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2c_{\alpha}}\left(2c_{\alpha+\delta_{2}}\alpha_{2} + \alpha_{3}\frac{t_{2\beta}}{2c_{\alpha}}\right)v_{R}^{2},\\ \mu_{3}^{2} &= \left(\alpha_{1} + \left(2c_{\alpha+\delta_{2}}\alpha_{2}s_{2\beta} + \alpha_{3}s_{\beta}^{2}\right)\right)v^{2} + 2\rho_{1}v_{R}^{2} \\ \alpha_{2}s_{\delta_{2}} &= \frac{s_{\alpha}}{4}\left(\alpha_{3}t_{2\beta} + 4\left(\lambda_{3} - 2\lambda_{2}\right)s_{2\beta}\epsilon^{2}\right). \end{split}$$

$$egin{aligned} v_L =& rac{\epsilon^2 v_R}{\left(1+t_eta^2
ight)\left(2
ho_1-
ho_3
ight)}iggl(-eta_1 t_eta\cos(lpha- heta_L)\ &+eta_2\cos( heta_L)+eta_3 t_eta^2\cos(2lpha- heta_L)iggr). \end{aligned}$$

For exact solvability we assume  $\beta_i = v_L = 0$  and keep only the phase  $\delta_2$  (no impact on collider pheno)

In any case:  $v_L \ll v \ll v_R \simeq \mathcal{O}(\text{TeV})$ 

Inserting the minimisation conditions into  ${\mathscr V}$  gives us the mass terms...

Let's start with the "easy" ones that don't mix (in units of  $v_R$ ) :

$$\begin{split} m_{\Delta_{R}^{++}}^{2} &= 4\rho_{2} + \frac{c_{2\beta}}{c_{\beta}^{4}} \alpha_{3} \epsilon^{2}, \qquad v_{L} = 0 \Rightarrow \text{ no mixing of } \Delta_{L}, \Delta_{R}^{++} \\ m_{\Delta_{L}^{++}}^{2} &= (\rho_{3} - 2\rho_{1}) - \frac{t_{\beta}^{4} - 2c_{2\alpha}t_{\beta}^{2} + 1}{t_{\beta}^{4} - 1} \alpha_{3} \epsilon^{2}, \\ m_{\Delta_{L}^{+}}^{2} &= (\rho_{3} - 2\rho_{1}) - \frac{\left(t_{\beta}^{2} + 1\right)^{2} - 4t_{\beta}^{2}c_{2\alpha}}{2\left(t_{\beta}^{4} - 1\right)} \alpha_{3} \epsilon^{2}, \\ m_{\Delta_{L}^{0}}^{2} &= m_{\chi_{L}^{0}}^{2} = (\rho_{3} - 2\rho_{1}) + s_{2\beta}t_{2\beta}s_{\alpha}^{2}\alpha_{3} \epsilon^{2}, \end{split}$$

Take as input parameters:  $m_{\Delta_R^{++}}$ ,  $m_{\Delta_L^0}$ , (and  $\tan \beta$  and  $\alpha$ ), solve for  $\rho_{2,3}$  $\rho_1$  and  $\alpha_3$  are fixed by other masses  $\Rightarrow$  Mass spectrum of  $\Delta_L$  follows a sum rule:

$$m_{\Delta_L^{++}}^2 - m_{\Delta_L^{+}}^2 = m_{\Delta_L^{+}}^2 - m_{\Delta_L^{0}}^2 = v^2 \alpha_3 \frac{c_{2\beta}}{2}$$

Inserting the minimisation conditions into  ${\mathcal V}$  gives us the mass terms...

Now the singly charged scalars:

$$(\phi_1^-, \phi_2^-, \Delta_R^-) M_+^2 \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^+ \\ \phi_2^+ \\ \Delta_R^+ \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } M_+^2 = \alpha_3 v_R^2 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{s_\beta^2}{c_{2\beta}} & -e^{-i\alpha}\frac{t_{2\beta}}{2} & -\epsilon e^{-i\alpha}\frac{s_\beta}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -e^{i\alpha}\frac{t_{2\beta}}{2} & \frac{c_\beta^2}{c_{2\beta}} & \epsilon\frac{c_\beta}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\epsilon e^{i\alpha}\frac{s_\beta}{\sqrt{2}} & \epsilon\frac{c_\beta}{\sqrt{2}} & \epsilon^2\frac{c_{2\beta}}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

 $M_+$  is diagonalised with a unitary rotation (up to  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$  :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^+ \\ \phi_2^+ \\ \Delta_R^+ \end{pmatrix} = U_+ \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_L^+ \\ H^+ \\ \varphi_R^+ \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= U_+ \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_L^+ \\ H^+ \\ \varphi_R^+ \end{pmatrix} = U_+ \begin{pmatrix} c_\beta & -e^{-i\alpha}s_\beta & 0 \\ e^{i\alpha}s_\beta & c_\beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 + \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^2 c_{2\beta}^2 & \frac{\epsilon c_{2\beta}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & -\frac{\epsilon c_{2\beta}}{\sqrt{2}} & -1 + \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^2 c_{2\beta}^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^2 s_{2\beta}^2 & 0 & \frac{\epsilon s_{2\beta}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{\epsilon s_{2\beta}}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 1 - \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^2 s_{2\beta}^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\simeq \begin{pmatrix} c_\beta & e^{-i\alpha}s_\beta & 0 \\ e^{i\alpha}s_\beta & -c_\beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & e^{-i\alpha}s_\beta \\ 0 & 0 & c_\beta \\ e^{i\alpha}s_{2\beta} & -c_{2\beta} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -4c_\beta s_\beta^4 & -e^{-i\alpha}s_\beta c_{2\beta}^2 & 0 \\ -4e^{i\alpha}s_\beta c_\beta^4 & c_\beta c_{2\beta}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\Rightarrow \varphi_{L,R}^{\pm}$  are the goldstones of  $W_{L,R}^{\pm}$  and remain massless

The remaining (fixed) mass is

$$m_{H^+} \simeq \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_3}{c_{2\beta}}} v_R \left( 1 + \epsilon^2 \frac{c_{2\beta}^{3/2}}{4} \right)$$

Jonathan Kriewald

#### 19/06/2024

Inserting the minimisation conditions into  ${\mathcal V}$  gives us the mass terms...

Goldstones are decoupled in an independent rotation

We are left with 4 neutral states in the basis ( $\text{Re}\varphi_{10}$ ,  $\text{Re}\Delta_R^0$ ,  $\text{Re}\varphi_{20}$ ,  $\text{Im}\varphi_{20}$ )



First we decouple the SM-like Higgs h from the rest via a 2-1 rotation around  $\theta$ :

$$m_h^2 = v^2 \left( 4\lambda_1 + \frac{64\lambda_2 t^2 c_\alpha^2}{\left(t^2 + 1\right)^2} + \frac{16\lambda_4 t c_\alpha}{t^2 + 1} - Y \tilde{\theta}^2 \right)$$
$$\tilde{\theta} = \frac{\theta}{\epsilon} = \left( \frac{2\alpha_1}{Y} + \frac{X}{Y} \frac{t^2 (1 - t^2)}{1 + t^2} \frac{\sin(2\alpha + \delta_2)}{\sin(\delta_2)} \right)$$

 $m_h$  and heta will be taken as input to solve for  $\lambda_1$  and  $lpha_1$ 

Inserting the minimisation conditions into  ${\mathscr V}$  gives us the mass terms...

We are left with 4 neutral states in the basis  $(\text{Re}\varphi_{10}, \text{Re}\Delta_R^0, \text{Re}\varphi_{20}, \text{Im}\varphi_{20})$ Remarkably, setting  $\lambda_3 = 2\lambda_2$  allows to determine the remaining rotations *exactly*: We rotate  $(\text{Re}\varphi_{10}, \text{Re}\Delta_R^0, \text{Re}\varphi_{20}, \text{Im}\varphi_{20})^T = O_N(h, \Delta_R, H, A)^T$ 

$$\begin{split} \theta &\equiv \epsilon \,\tilde{\theta} \equiv \theta_{21} = \epsilon \left[ \frac{2\alpha_1}{Y} - \frac{2X \left( t^4 - t^2 \, s_{2\alpha+\delta_2}/s_{\delta_2} \right)}{Y \left( t^2 + 1 \right)^2} \right], \\ \phi &\equiv \epsilon^2 \tilde{\phi} \equiv \theta_{31} = \epsilon^2 \frac{\left( t^2 c_{2\alpha} - 1 \right)}{(1 + t^2)^2} \left[ \frac{32t c_\alpha \lambda_2 + 4\lambda_4 (1 + t^2)}{X} - 2 \, t \, \tilde{\theta} \, s_{\alpha+\delta_2}/s_{\delta_2} \right], \\ \theta_{41} &= \epsilon^2 \frac{t^2 s_{2\alpha}}{(1 + t^2)^2} \left[ \frac{32t c_\alpha \lambda_2 + 4\lambda_4 (t^2 + 1)}{X} - 2 \, t \, \tilde{\theta} \, s_{\alpha+\delta_2}/s_{\delta_2} \right], \\ \theta_{34} &= \cot^{-1} \left[ \cot(2\alpha) - \frac{\csc(2\alpha)}{t^2} \right], \\ \eta &\equiv \theta_{23} = -\frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left[ \frac{4t X \epsilon \sqrt{t^4 - 2c_{2\alpha} t^2 + 1} \, s_{\alpha+\delta_2}/s_{\delta_2}}{\left(t^2 + 1\right)^2 \left( Y \tilde{\theta}^2 \epsilon^2 - \frac{16(t^4 - 2c_{2\alpha} t^2 + 1)\lambda_2 \epsilon^2}{(t^2 + 1)^2} - X + Y \right) \right] \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} h \text{ part of } \Re \Delta_R : \quad \theta \equiv \theta_{21} \simeq -(O_N)_{2,1} \,, \\ H \text{ part of } \Re \Delta_R : \quad \eta \equiv \theta_{23} = \arcsin[(O_N)_{2,3}/c_\theta] \,, \\ h \text{ part of } \Re \phi_{20} : \quad \phi \equiv \theta_{31} \simeq -(O_N)_{3,1} \,, \end{split}$$

 $\theta, \phi, \eta$  can be taken as **input** parameters!

Mixing angles also control scalar couplings to SM-gauge bosons & quarks!

Jonathan Kriewald

#### 19/06/2024

Inserting the minimisation conditions into  ${\mathscr V}$  gives us the mass terms...

We rotate  $(\text{Re}\varphi_{10}, \text{Re}\Delta_R^0, \text{Re}\varphi_{20}, \text{Im}\varphi_{20})^T = O_N(h, \Delta_R, H, A)^T$  and get the mass eigenvalues:

$$\begin{split} m_h^2 &= \epsilon^2 \left( 4\lambda_1 + \frac{64\lambda_2 t^2 c_\alpha^2}{\left(t^2 + 1\right)^2} + \frac{16\lambda_4 t c_\alpha}{t^2 + 1} - Y \tilde{\theta}^2 \right), \\ m_\Delta^2 &= Y + \sec(2\eta) \left[ (Y - X) s_\eta^2 + \epsilon^2 \left( Y \tilde{\theta}^2 c_\eta^2 - \frac{16\lambda_2 \left(t^4 - 2c_{2\alpha} t^2 + 1\right)}{\left(t^2 + 1\right)^2} s_\eta^2 \right) \right] \\ m_H^2 &= X - \sec(2\eta) \left[ (Y - X) s_\eta^2 + \epsilon^2 \left( Y \tilde{\theta}^2 s_\eta^2 - \frac{16\lambda_2 \left(t^4 - 2c_{2\alpha} t^2 + 1\right)}{\left(t^2 + 1\right)^2} c_\eta^2 \right) \right] \\ m_A^2 &= X \,, \end{split}$$

The masses  $m_h$ ,  $m_\Delta$ ,  $m_H$ ,  $m_A$  are taken as input parameters to determine the potential

And we get another sum rule:

$$m_{H^+}^2 - m_A^2 = v^2 \alpha_3 \frac{c_{2\beta}}{2} \sim O(150 \,\text{GeV})^2$$

Mass splitting  $|m_H^2 - m_A^2|$  must be small to ensure perturbativity of  $\lambda_2$ :  $|m_H^2 - m_A^2| \leq 16v^2$ 

The various vevs in the model induce tree-level mass terms for all fermions:

Only the bi-doublet couples to quarks, giving masses to up- and down-type quarks

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{Y}^{q} &= \bar{Q}_{L}^{\prime} \left( Y_{q} \phi + \tilde{Y}_{q} \tilde{\phi} \right) Q_{R}^{\prime} + \text{H.c.}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{Y}^{\ell} &= \bar{L}_{L}^{\prime} \left( Y_{\ell} \phi + \tilde{Y}_{\ell} \tilde{\phi} \right) L_{R}^{\prime} + \\ &+ \bar{L}_{L}^{\prime c} i \sigma_{2} \Delta_{L} Y_{L}^{M} L_{L}^{\prime} + \bar{L}_{R}^{\prime c} i \sigma_{2} \Delta_{R} Y_{R}^{M} L_{R}^{\prime} + \text{H.c.}. \end{aligned}$$

Which are diagonalised as:

$$M_u = Y_q v_1 + \tilde{Y}_q e^{-i\alpha} v_2$$
$$M_d = -Y_q e^{i\alpha} v_2 - \tilde{Y}_q v_1$$

$$M_u = U_{uL} \, m_u \, U_{uR}^{\dagger} \,, \qquad M_d = U_{dL} \, m_d \, U_{dR}^{\dagger}$$

From these mixings we can define the CKM and its right-handed (measurable) analogue:

 $V_L^{\text{CKM}} \equiv U_{uL}^{\dagger} U_{dL}, V_R^{\text{CKM}} \equiv U_{uR}^{\dagger} U_{dR}$  ( $V_R$  can has additional phases in the case of  $\mathscr{C}$ )

The quark Yukawas are then fully determined from measurable inputs: quark masses and mixings

$$Y_q = \frac{1}{v_1^2 - v_2^2} \left( M_u v_1 + e^{-i\alpha} M_d v_2 \right)$$
$$\tilde{Y}_q = -\frac{1}{v_1^2 - v_2^2} \left( M_d v_1 + e^{i\alpha} M_u v_2 \right)$$

Both triplets and the bi-doublet induce mass-terms for the leptons:

$$M_{\ell} = -Y_{\ell} v_2 e^{i\alpha} + \tilde{Y}_{\ell} v_1, \ M_D = Y_{\ell} v_1 - \tilde{Y}_{\ell} v_2 e^{-i\alpha}, \ M_L = v_L Y_L^M, \ M_R = v_R Y_R^M$$

In which  $M_D$  is a mass-term between LH and RH neutrinos,  $M_L$  and  $M_R$  are Majorana The charged lepton mass  $M_\ell$  is easily diagonalised:  $M_\ell = U_{\ell L} m_\ell U_{\ell R}^{\dagger}$ 

And the Yukawas of the bi-doublet are given by:

$$Y_{\ell} = \frac{1}{v_1^2 - v_2^2} \left( M_D v_1 + M_{\ell} e^{-i\alpha} v_2 \right)$$
$$\tilde{Y}_{\ell} = -\frac{1}{v_1^2 - v_2^2} \left( M_{\ell} v_1 + M_D e^{i\alpha} v_2 \right)$$

Due to  $M_D$ , LH and RH neutrinos mix with each other, we need to diagonalise the mass matrix:

$$\bar{n}_{L}^{\prime}M_{n}n_{L}^{\prime c} = \left(\bar{\nu}_{L}^{\prime} \ \bar{\nu}_{R}^{\prime c}\right) \begin{pmatrix} M_{L} & M_{D} \\ M_{D}^{T} & M_{R} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{L}^{\prime c} \\ \nu_{R}^{\prime} \end{pmatrix}$$

Due to  $M_D$ , LH and RH neutrinos mix with each other, we need to diagonalise the mass matrix:

$$\bar{n}_L' M_n n_L'^c = \left( \bar{\nu}_L' \ \bar{\nu}_R'^c \right) \begin{pmatrix} M_L & M_D \\ M_D^T & M_R \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L'^c \\ \nu_R' \end{pmatrix}$$

Majorana mass matrix is complex symmetric: (block-) diagonalised via Autonne-Takagi factorisation:

$$\tilde{W}^T M_n \tilde{W} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{\text{light}} & 0\\ 0 & m_{\text{heavy}} \end{pmatrix}$$

**Perturbative diagonalisation** (expand in  $M_R^{-1}$ ) gives us:

$$M_{\nu} \simeq M_L - M_D M_R^{-1} M_D^T, \qquad M_N \simeq M_R$$

In which the blocks are diagonalised via the unitary matrices  $V_{\nu}$  and  $V_N$ :

$$V_{\nu}^{T} M_{\nu} V_{\nu} = \text{diag}(m_{\nu_{1}}, m_{\nu_{2}}, m_{\nu_{3}}), \quad V_{N}^{\dagger} M_{N} V_{N}^{*} = \text{diag}(m_{N_{1}}, m_{N_{2}}, m_{N_{3}})$$

Due to  $M_D$ , LH and RH neutrinos mix with each other, we need to diagonalise the mass matrix:

$$\bar{n}_{L}^{\prime}M_{n}n_{L}^{\prime c} = \left(\bar{\nu}_{L}^{\prime} \ \bar{\nu}_{R}^{\prime c}\right) \begin{pmatrix} M_{L} & M_{D} \\ M_{D}^{T} & M_{R} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{L}^{\prime c} \\ \nu_{R}^{\prime} \end{pmatrix}$$

Majorana mass matrix is complex symmetric: (block-) diagonalised via Autonne-Takagi factorisation:

Type II mass term
$$\tilde{W}^T M_n \tilde{W} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{\text{light}} & 0 \\ 0 & m_{\text{heavy}} \end{pmatrix}$$
Type I mass termPerturbative diagonalisation (expand in  $M_R^{-1}$ ) gives us: $M_{\nu} \simeq M_L - M_D M_R^{-1} M_D^T$ ,  $M_N \simeq M_R$ In which the blocks are diagonalised via the unitary matrices  $V_{\nu}$  and  $V_N$ :

$$V_{\nu}^{T} M_{\nu} V_{\nu} = \operatorname{diag}(m_{\nu_{1}}, m_{\nu_{2}}, m_{\nu_{3}}), \quad V_{N}^{\dagger} M_{N} V_{N}^{*} = \operatorname{diag}(m_{N_{1}}, m_{N_{2}}, m_{N_{3}})$$

The full rotation matrix is approximately given by (up to  $M_R^{-1}$ ):

 $W = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\mathbb{1} - BB^{\dagger}}V_{\nu} & BV_{N}^{*} \\ -B^{\dagger}V_{\nu} & \sqrt{\mathbb{1} - B^{\dagger}B}V_{N}^{*} \end{pmatrix}$  $\simeq \begin{pmatrix} V_{\nu} & B_{1}V_{N}^{*} \\ -B_{1}^{\dagger}V_{\nu} & V_{N}^{*} \end{pmatrix}.$ 

With 
$$B_1 = M_D^{\dagger} M_R^{-1\dagger}$$

Charged lepton currents can be cast as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{cc}^{\ell} = rac{g_L}{\sqrt{2}} ar{\ell}_L \gamma^{\mu} \mathcal{U}_L n_L W_L^{\mu} + rac{g_R}{\sqrt{2}} ar{\ell}_R \gamma^{\mu} \mathcal{U}_R n_R W_R^{\mu}$$

#### With the $3 \times 6$ mixing matrices given by:

$$(\mathcal{U}_L)_{\alpha i} = \sum_{k=1}^3 (V_{\ell L}^{\dagger})_{\alpha k} W_{ki},$$
$$(\mathcal{U}_R)_{\alpha i} = \sum_{k=1}^3 (V_{\ell L}^{\dagger})_{\alpha k} W_{(k+3)i}.$$

The first  $3 \times 3$  block of  $\mathscr{U}_L$  can be identified as the LH would-be PMNS, the second  $3 \times 3$  block of  $\mathscr{U}_R$  as its RH analogue

 $\mathcal{U}_R$  could be measured in  $W_R^{\pm} \to \ell N$  decays

In the case of  $\mathscr{C}$ , the neutrino sector is further restricted, the symmetry properties under  $\mathscr{C}: \phi \leftrightarrow \phi^T, \Delta_L \leftrightarrow \Delta_R^*$ 

restrict the Yukawa couplings and therefore the mass terms to  $Y_{\ell} = Y_{\ell}^T$ ,  $\tilde{Y}_{\ell} = \tilde{Y}_{\ell}^T$ ,  $Y_L^M = Y_R^M$ ,  $M_D = M_D^T$ ,  $M_L = \frac{v_L}{v_D}M_R$ 

From the light and heavy masses

$$M_{\nu} \simeq M_L - M_D M_R^{-1} M_D^T, \qquad M_N \simeq M_R$$

The Dirac mass matrix (and therefore all Yukawas) is **fully determined** by measurable inputs

 $(m_{\nu_i}, m_{N_i}, \mathcal{U}_L, \mathcal{U}_R)$ 

With the help of the Cayley-Hamilton theorem the square root is analytically calculable and of the form

$$M_D = M_N \sqrt{\frac{v_L}{v_R} \mathbb{1} - M_N^{-1} M_\nu}$$

$$\sqrt{A} = c_0 \,\mathbb{1} + c_1 \,A + c_2 \,A.A$$

 $c_i$  are functions of invariants of A (ask Miha about it 1)

### What's next: QCD renormalisation

Going beyond tree-level:  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$  corrections to quark vertices + new topology: ggF



### What's next: QCD renormalisation

Going beyond tree-level:  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$  corrections to quark vertices + new topology: ggF



To absorb UV divergences: compute counter terms from self-energies



+ ISR/FSR diagrams to absorb IR divergences

Let's go through it in detail....

### What's next: QCD renormalisation

Going beyond tree-level:  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$  corrections to quark vertices + new topology: ggF



To absorb UV divergences: compute counter terms from self-energies



Let's go through it in detail... procedure has been automised in MoGRe [1907.04898] 😎

# 

### Some numerical results

Using MG5\_aMC\_@NLO 3.5.3:

- Set light neutrino/charged lepton/light quark masses/Yukawas to 0
- MG5 default run card with default cuts
- No shower, no detector simulation, just fixed-order NLO/LO cross-sections
- All parameters fixed to default values (see previous tables)
- PDF-sets: NNPDF40, dynamical scale variation

## : IJS

### Some numerical results

#### Drell-Yan production of the heavy gauge bosons:



Remember:  $M_{Z_R} \simeq 1.67 M_{W_R}$ , becomes kinematically inaccessible at LHC

# : IJS

### Some numerical results

#### s-channel Drell-Yan production of heavy N



(Mostly) mediated by  $pp \to W_R^{\pm} \to \ell^{\pm} N, pp \to Z_R \to NN$ 



#### Production of heavy scalars:

ggF process highly suppressed at

large *x* 

Dominant coupling to b-quarks via top Yukawa

QCD corrections negative due to interplay of top-mass/Yukawa renormalisation



# 

#### Production of light scalars:

- ggF depending on scalar mixing angles
- $\triangleright gg \rightarrow h \rightarrow \Delta \Delta$  can be
  - resonant
- $\blacktriangleright$  " $\Delta$ -strahlung" dominates,
  - scales with  $m_{W_R}$  and  $tan(\beta)$



Full and automatic computation of genuine loop-induced QCD processes!



### Conclusion

Left-Right symmetric model (LRSM) well motivated theory Framework

Gives origin to neutrino masses

Can be used to address many others of the SM issues

Features numerous new states around the TeV scale

New model file:

All mixings are calculated

New parameter inversion: cast all parameters in **physical (measurable) parameters** 

Includes full QCD NLO corrections for the first time

Also a parity violating version of the model file where  $g_L \neq g_R$