



# Leveraging HPC Tools to Understand the Performance and Impact of openPMD on BIT1, a Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Code, through Instrumentation, Monitoring, and In-Situ Analysis

J. J. Williams<sup>1</sup>, S. Costea<sup>2</sup>, D. Tskhakaya<sup>3</sup>, L. Kos<sup>2</sup>, A. Podolnik<sup>3</sup>, J. Hromadka<sup>3</sup>, M. Garcia-Gasulla<sup>4</sup>, A. D. Malony<sup>5</sup>, K. Huck<sup>5</sup>, E. Laure<sup>6</sup>, I. B. Peng<sup>1</sup>, and S. Markidis<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden
 <sup>2</sup>LeCAD, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia
 <sup>3</sup>Institute of Plasma Physics of the CAS, Prague, Czech Republic
 <sup>4</sup>Barcelona Supercomputing Center, Barcelona, Spain
 <sup>5</sup>University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon, The United States of America
 <sup>6</sup>Max Planck Computing and Data Facility, Garching and Greifswald, Germany



- Motivation
- Background
- Question
- Environments
- Evaluation and Results
- Conclusion and Current Work



# Motivation



## Motivation: Plasma (4<sup>TH</sup> State of Matter) and Particle Energy





## Motivation: Fusion Energy and The Grand Challenge







# ITER<sup>[1]</sup>

Next Generation Magnetic Fusion Device

## **Fusion Reactor**

## **Fusion Energy**

**Grand Challenge:** Generate More Energy From Fusion than Invested in Starting and Maintaining it.

[1] International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor, "What is ITER?", Accessed 30 June 2024. https://www.iter.org/proj/inafewlines

2024-09-26



## Motivation: Petascale to Exascale Supercomputers<sup>[1]</sup>



j me European myn Ferjonnance compating jonn onaenaking (EuronFC JO). European commission. Our supercompaters , Accessed 50 June 2024. https://euronpc-ja.europa.eu/supercompaters/our-supercompa

2024-09-26



## Motivation: BIT Grand Challenge and Exascale Readiness

#### BIT (BIT1 and BIT3) – Particle-in-Cell (PIC) Code

Controlling the Complexities of Plasma-Material
 Interfaces for the Next-Generation Fusion Devices.

- **BIT Exascale Readiness**
- o Investigate, Characterize, and Understand the

### **Computing Performance**

- Main Tasks:
  - ✓ Identify Compute-Intensive Areas
  - ✓ Analyze Single-Node Performance
  - ✓ Evaluate MPI Communication, Load Balancing, and Scaling
  - ✓ Characterize I/O and Identify Performance Enhancements



Jet Discharge Edge Part of the Tokamak "High Temperature & Pressurized Plasma"



## Motivation: Enabling BIT1\* and Exascale Workflow



2024-09-26



# Background



## Background: Particle-in-Cell Method and HPC Architectures<sup>[1,2]</sup>

Particle-in-Cell (PIC) codes are widely used to simulate plasma behavior, involving an initialization phase and four main phases on HPC architectures.



(1) Particle Mover: Solve equations of motion for particles to update their positions and velocities.

(2) Deposition to the Grid:Distribute particle charges and currents onto a fixed mesh to calculate densities.





(3) Field Solver on the Grid: Obtain electromagnetic / electrostatic fields by solving Maxwell's / Poisson's equations.

(4) Particle Force Evaluation:Interpolate fields back to particle locations to evaluate forces.

The **PIC Method** repeats these steps for **subsequent time steps** to simulate plasma behavior over time. Each step corresponds to a **small fraction** of the characteristic plasma **timescale** for accurate dynamics.

 J. J. Williams et all. (2024). "A Comprehensive Review of High-Performance Particle-in-Cell Codes for Large-Scale Plasma Simulations." Department of Computer Science, EECS, KTH. Working Paper.
 J. J. Williams et al. "Leveraging HPC Profiling & Tracing Tools to Understand the Performance of Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Simulations." Euro-Par 2023: Parallel Processing Workshops: Euro-Par 2023 International Workshops, Limassol, Cyprus, August 28–September 1, 2023, Revised Selected Papers, Part I, LNCS 14351. Springer Nature



## Background: BITx, x=1,3 Code Structure

1D3V (BIT1) and 3D3V (BIT3) electrostatic PIC + Monte-Carlo Collisions (MCC)



 ✓ BIT1 - Physics based Poisson solver accurate, fast and highly scalable <sup>[2]</sup> [1] J.P. Verboncoeur, et. al., J. Comput. Phys., 104 (2), 321 (1993).
[2] David Tskhakaya, et al., 18<sup>th</sup> Euromicro Conference proceedings (2010)
[3] David Tskhakaya, et al., Contr. Plasma Phys., 48 (2008)
[4] David Tskhakaya, Eur. J. Phys. D., online (2023)
[5] David Tskhakaya, et al., J. of Comp. Phys., 225 (2007)



## Background: BIT1 (PIC-MCC) Simulations and Input Parameters<sup>[1]</sup>



- Particle-In-Cell code with Monte-Carlo Collisions (PIC-MCC)
  - **1D3V** 
    - x[s][c][p]
       s = species (electrons, ions, neutrals)
    - v<sub>x</sub>[s][c][p]
       c = cell index (cell-based particle indexing)
    - v<sub>y</sub> [s][c][p]
       v<sub>z</sub> [s][c][p]
       p = particle index
  - o Domain **decomposition** scheme
  - Data layout particle information stored in memory
  - MPI communication between processes (non-blocking)

- Two Key Plasma Diagnostic Flags<sup>[1]</sup>
  - **mvflag**: Activates and Enables **time-dependent** diagnostics.
  - **mvStep**: Counts the **time steps** for the interval between **time-dependent** diagnostics.

The PIC-MCC Method simulates plasma behavior over time.

[1] J. J. Williams et al. "Leveraging HPC Profiling & Tracing Tools to Understand the Performance of Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Simulations." Euro-Par 2023: Parallel Processing Workshops: Euro-Par 2023 International Workshops, Limassol, Cyprus, August 28–September 1, 2023, Revised Selected Papers, Part I, LNCS 14351. Springer Nature



## Background: BIT1 openPMD Standard & openPMD-api Integration<sup>[1,2,3,4]</sup>





[1] A. Huebl et al. openPMD: A meta data standard for particle and mesh based data. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.591699. (2015)

- [2] A. Huebl et al. openPMD-api: C++ & Python API for Scientific I/O with openPMD. https://doi.org/10.14278/rodare.27. (2018)
- [3] F. Poesche et al. Transitioning from file-based HPC workflows to streaming data pipelines with openPMD and ADIOS2. In Smoky Mountains Computational Sciences and Engineering Conference. Springer, 99–118. (2021)
   [4] J. J. Williams et al. Enabling High-throughput Parallel I/O in Particle-In-Cell Monte Carlo Simulations with openPMD and Darshan I/O Monitoring. In: 2024 IEEE International Conference on Cluster Computing Workshops (CLUSTER Workshops). Kobe, Japan. IEEE (2024)

2024-09-26



# Question



## Question: BIT1 (PIC-MCC) Exascale Readiness

How can we efficiently handle massive plasma simulation data on current extreme-scale systems?

- We need to avoid:
  - Data Volume and Storage
  - Data Transfer and Communication
  - Processing and Analysis
  - Computational Resource Allocation
  - Time-Consuming Insight Retrieval

- We need to know:
  - Expensive Call Functions?
  - Communication vs. Computation Balance?
  - Commonly used MPI calls?
  - Impact of Workload Imbalance?
  - Streamlined Analysis and Debugging Techniques?



# **Environments**



## Environments: BIT1 Original on Distinct Systems<sup>[1,2]</sup>

### Greendog

Туре	Workstation (w/ Admin Privileges)	Туре	HPC System
Processor	Intel i7-7820X (8 cores)	Processor	AMD EPYC 7302P 16-Core processor (32 cores)
Memory	32 GB DRAM	Memory	256 GB DRAM
GPU	NVIDIA RTX2060 SUPER	GPU	2 x NVIDIA A100
L1 Cache	256 KiB	L1 Cache	32 KiB
L2 Cache	8 MiB	L2 Cache	512 KiB
L3 Cache (LLC)	11 MiB	L3 Cache (LLC)	16 MiB

#### Dardel

DD DI D

Туре	HPE Cray EX Supercomputer (CPU Partition, 554 Nodes)		
Processor	2 x AMD EPYC™ Zen2 2.25 GHz (64 cores)		
Cores per Node	128 (2 x 64 cores)		
Memory per Node	256GB DRAM		
L1 Cache	32 KiB		
L2 Cache	512 KiB		
L3 Cache (LLC)	16.38 MiB		



[1] J. J. Williams et al. "Leveraging HPC Profiling & Tracing Tools to Understand the Performance of Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Simulations." Euro-Par 2023: Parallel Processing Workshops:

Euro-Par 2023 International Workshops, Limassol, Cyprus, August 28–September 1, 2023, Revised Selected Papers, Part I, LNCS 14351. Springer Nature

[2] J. J. Williams, et al. "Optimizing BIT1, a Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Code, with OpenMP/OpenACC and GPU Acceleration." 24th International Conference on Computational Science, Málaga, Spain, July 2-4, 2024, Part I, LNCS 14832. Springer Nature



## Environments: BIT1 openPMD BP4 on Distinct Systems<sup>[1,2,3]</sup>

#### Discoverer

Туре	Petascale EuroHPC Supercomputer (1128 Compute nodes)		
Processor	2 x AMD EPYC™ Zen2 7H12 GHz (64 cores)		
Cores per Node	128 (2 x 64 cores)		
Memory per Node	256 GB DDR4 SDRAM (regular nodes) 1TB DDR4 SDRAM (fat nodes)		
OS	Red Hat Enterprise Linux 8.44		
Storage	4 TB NFS and 2.1 PB LFS with 4 OSTs		

### Vega

Туре	Petascale EuroHPC Supercomputer (CPU Partition, 554 Nodes)		
Processor	2 x AMD EPYC™ Zen2 7H12 GHz (64 cores)		
Cores per Node	128 (2 x 64 cores)		
Memory per Node256 GB DDR4 SDRAM (80% nodes) 1TB DDR4 SDRAM (20% nodes)			
OS	Red Hat Enterprise Linux 8		
Storage	23 PB CephFS and 1 PB LFS with 80 OSTs		

### Dardel



Type HPE Cray EX Supercomputer (1270 compute nodes	
Processor	2 x AMD EPYC™ Zen2 2.25 GHz (64 cores)
Cores per Node 128 (2 x 64 cores)	
lemory per Node	256GB DRAM
OS	SUSE Linux Enterprise Server 15 SP3
Storage	12 PB LFS with 48 OSTs



[1] J. J. Williams, et al. "Leveraging HPC Profiling & Tracing Tools to Understand the Performance of Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Simulations." Euro-Par 2023: Parallel Processing Workshops:

Euro-Par 2023 International Workshops, Limassol, Cyprus, August 28–September 1, 2023, Revised Selected Papers, Part I, LNCS 14351. Springer Nature

[2] J. J. Williams, et al. "Understanding the Impact of openPMD on BIT1, a Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Code, through Instrumentation, Monitoring, and In-Situ Analysis." Euro-Par 2024: Parallel Processing Workshops: Euro-Par 2024 International Workshops, Madrid, Spain, August 26–30, 2024. Springer Nature.

[3] J. J. Williams, et al. Enabling High-throughput Parallel I/O in Particle-In-Cell Monte Carlo Simulations with openPMD and Darshan I/O Monitoring. In: 2024 IEEE International Conference on Cluster Computing Workshops (CLUSTER Workshops). Kobe, Japan. IEEE

2024-09-26



## Environments: BIT1 openPMD BP4 I/O Workflow<sup>[1,2]</sup>





ADIOS2 Engines: BIT1 I/O workflow uses ADIOS2 engines and output extensions (e.g., .bp4) generating directories containing data, metadata, index, and optional profiling files.<sup>[1]</sup>



openPMD BP4 Integration: BIT1 I/O workflow with openPMD and the ADIOS2 BP4 engine outputs simulation results into the directory, "data\_file.bp4".<sup>[2]</sup>

Adaptable Input/Output System 2

[1] A. Huebl et al. openPMD-api: C++ & Python API for Scientific I/O with openPMD. https://doi.org/10.14278/rodare.27. (2018)

[2] J. J. Williams et al. Enabling High-throughput Parallel I/O in Particle-In-Cell Monte Carlo Simulations with openPMD and Darshan I/O Monitoring. In: 2024 IEEE International Conference on Cluster Computing Workshops (CLUSTER Workshops). Kobe, Japan. IEEE

2024-09-26



## Environments: Simple vs Complex BIT1 Test Cases<sup>[1,2]</sup>

#### \*Neutral Particle Ionization Simulation

Uniform plasma interacts with Deuterium gas, causing ionization

Cells	100,000 (100K)
Species	electrons (e), ions (D <sup>+</sup> ), neutrals (D)
Collisions	elastic, excitation, ionization
Wall effects	ion recycling into neutrals
Total number of particles	30,000,000 (30M)
Fields	No electric or magnetic fields
Time steps	200,000 (200K)
Minimum (Baseline) HPC system	Dardel/Vega, 1 Node (128 MPI Processes)

#### **Outcome:**

\*D concentration **decreases** with time during **ionization**.

### **\*\*High-Density Sheath Simulation**

Plasma reaches wall, transforms to neutrals, forms charged sheath

Cells		3,000,000 (3M)
Species		electrons (e), ions (D <sup>+</sup> ), neutrals (D)
Collisions		elastic, excitation, ionization
Wall effects		ion recycling into neutrals
Total number of particles		2,200,000,000 (2.2B)
Fields		Self-consistent electric field, fixed magnetic field (3T)
Time steps		100,000 (100K)
Minimum (Baseline) HPC system		Dardel/Vega, 5 Node (640 MPI Processes)
**e and D* <b>transform</b> into D, forming <b>front-wall</b> plasma <b>charged-sheath</b>		

[1] J. J. Williams et al. "Leveraging HPC Profiling & Tracing Tools to Understand the Performance of Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Simulations." Euro-Par 2023: Parallel Processing Workshops:

Euro-Par 2023 International Workshops, Limassol, Cyprus, August 28–September 1, 2023, Revised Selected Papers, Part I, LNCS 14351.

[2] J. J. Williams et al. Enabling High-throughput Parallel I/O in Particle-In-Cell Monte Carlo Simulations with openPMD and Darshan I/O Monitoring. In: 2024 IEEE International Conference on Cluster Computing Workshops (CLUSTER Workshops). Kobe, Japan. IEEE (2024)

2024-09-26



## Environments: BIT1 openPMD BP4 Test Case<sup>[1,2]</sup>

### \*Neutral Particle Ionization: Uniform plasma interacts with Deuterium gas, causing ionization

Cells	100,000 (100K)	
Species	electrons (e), ions (D <sup>+</sup> ), neutrals (D)	
Collisions	elastic, excitation, ionization	
Wall effects	ion recycling into neutrals	
Total number of particles	30,000,000 (30M)	
Fields	No electric or magnetic fields	
Time steps	200,000 (200K)	
Minimum (Baseline) HPC system	Dardel/Vega, 1 Node (128 MPI Processes)	

Outcome: \*D concentration decreases with time during ionization.



\* **3D time evolution** of the number of **simulation particles** for the first **5000** timesteps: **electrons**, **ions** and **neutrals** 

[1] J. J. Williams et al. "Leveraging HPC Profiling & Tracing Tools to Understand the Performance of Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Simulations." Euro-Par 2023: Parallel Processing Workshops:
 Euro-Par 2023 International Workshops, Limassol, Cyprus, August 28–September 1, 2023, Revised Selected Papers, Part I, LNCS 14351. Springer Nature
 [2] J. J. Williams et al. Enabling High-throughput Parallel I/O in Particle-In-Cell Monte Carlo Simulations with openPMD and Darshan I/O Monitoring. In: 2024 IEEE International Conference on Cluster Computing Workshops (CLUSTER Workshops). Kobe, Japan. IEEE

2024-09-26



# **Evaluation and Results**



## Evaluation: BIT1 Original (CPU) Simulations<sup>[1]</sup>



[1] J. J. Williams et al. "Leveraging HPC Profiling & Tracing Tools to Understand the Performance of Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Simulations." Euro-Par 2023: Parallel Processing Workshops: Euro-Par 2023 International Workshops, Limassol, Cyprus, August 28–September 1, 2023, Revised Selected Papers, Part I, LNCS 14351. Springer Nature



# **Evaluation:** Leveraging HPC Profiling & Tracing Tools<sup>[1]</sup>



[1] J. J. Williams et al. "Leveraging HPC Profiling & Tracing Tools to Understand the Performance of Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Simulations." Euro-Par 2023: Parallel Processing Workshops: Euro-Par 2023 International Workshops, Limassol, Cyprus, August 28–September 1, 2023, Revised Selected Papers, Part I, LNCS 14351. Springer Nature

2024-09-26



# gprof & perf



## Evaluation: gprof<sup>[1]</sup>

- Open-source profiling tool
- Gathers execution time information
- Reports frequently used functions by the processor





#### **High-Density Sheath**



[1] J. J. Williams et al. "Leveraging HPC Profiling & Tracing Tools to Understand the Performance of Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Simulations." Euro-Par 2023: Parallel Processing Workshops: Euro-Par 2023 International Workshops, Limassol, Cyprus, August 28–September 1, 2023, Revised Selected Papers, Part I, LNCS 14351. Springer Nature



## **Evaluation:** perf<sup>[1]</sup>

Profiler tool

0,00000000 seconds sys

- Gathers hardware performance counters and events
- Mostly used in Linux subsystems

#### L1 - Cachetest



#### LLC (L3) – Cachetest

**Performance counter stats for './cachetest':** 12 996 L1-dcache-load-misses # 5,53% of all L1-dcache accesses 234 862 L1-dcache-loads 0,001922798 seconds time elapsed 0,001948000 seconds user

**Performance counter stats for './cachetest':** LLC-load-misses # 18,95% of all LL-cache accesses 449

2 370 LLC-loads

0,001688897 seconds time elapsed

0,001797000 seconds user 0,00000000 seconds sys

[1] J. J. Williams et al. "Leveraging HPC Profiling & Tracing Tools to Understand the Performance of Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Simulations." Euro-Par 2023: Parallel Processing Workshops: Euro-Par 2023 International Workshops, Limassol, Cyprus, August 28–September 1, 2023, Revised Selected Papers, Part I, LNCS 14351. Springer Nature



# **Results:** Leveraging HPC Profiling and Tracing Tools<sup>[1]</sup>

#### move0 \_\_\_\_\_ moveb lonization 75.5% 17.6% arri heat up avq mpi bincc dspf Sheath 51.1% 15.5% 16.2% others 25% 50% 75% 100% 0%

gprof: Reports Frequently Used Functions



move0() / moveb() - Particle Pusher (Mover)

bincc\_dspf() - Binary Collision Operator



perf: Cache and Memory Usage

Baseline Size	10% Reduction Size	20% Reduction Size	cache-test
L1 Load Misses	L1 Load Misses	L1 Load Misses	L1 Load Misses
3.43%	2.51%	2.17%	5.53%
LLC Load Misses	LLC Load Misses	LLC Load Misses	LLC Load Misses
99.07%	52.25%	47.51%	18.95%

All L1 performance consistent with low miss rates.

#### Baseline:

 Performance hindered by 99% cache misses, causing frequent slow main memory access.

#### Reduction (20%):

Smaller problem size fits in LLC (L3), improving LLC (L3) and BIT1's performance.

[1] J. J. Williams et al. "Leveraging HPC Profiling & Tracing Tools to Understand the Performance of Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Simulations." Euro-Par 2023: Parallel Processing Workshops: Euro-Par 2023 International Workshops, Limassol, Cyprus, August 28–September 1, 2023, Revised Selected Papers, Part I, LNCS 14351. Springer Nature

2024-09-26



# Extrae, Paraver & IPM



## Evaluation: Extrae & Paraver<sup>[1]</sup>

- Extrae & Paraver: Parallel performance tracing and profiler tools by \*BSC
- Extrae: Instruments code for parallel performance tracing
- Paraver: Post-processes Extrae output and provides visualization

#### Ionization (8 MPI Ranks): Runtime-Trace



#### \*Barcelona Supercomputing Center (BSC)

#### Ionization (8 MPI Ranks): MPI-Call-Trace



[1] J. J. Williams et al. "Leveraging HPC Profiling & Tracing Tools to Understand the Performance of Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Simulations." Euro-Par 2023: Parallel Processing Workshops: Euro-Par 2023 International Workshops, Limassol, Cyprus, August 28–September 1, 2023, Revised Selected Papers, Part I, LNCS 14351. Springer Nature



## **Evaluation:** IPM<sup>[1]</sup>

- Portable profiling performance monitoring tool
- Offers low-overhead performance profiles for parallel programs
- Focus on communication, computation, and I/O aspects



Ionization (1 node): mpi\_stack\_byrank

### Ionization (1 node): mpi\_pie (%)



[1] J. J. Williams et al. "Leveraging HPC Profiling & Tracing Tools to Understand the Performance of Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Simulations." Euro-Par 2023: Parallel Processing Workshops: Euro-Par 2023 International Workshops, Limassol, Cyprus, August 28–September 1, 2023, Revised Selected Papers, Part I, LNCS 14351. Springer Nature

IPM

# **Results:** Leveraging HPC Profiling and Tracing Tools<sup>[1]</sup>

### Extrae & Paraver: Communication Pattern



Workload imbalance:

MPI rank 0 is slowest, causing neighbouring MPI processes to wait.

#### **Reason:**

VETENSKA OCH KONS

- MPI rank 0 performs data gathering and transmission to other ranks.
- Synchronization is needed for the field solver and

plasma profile calculator.



### **IPM:** Parallel and MPI Performance Analysis



Superlinear speed-up observed in both cases.

#### Sheath:

- Over **2,560** MPI processes, **consistent** superlinear speed-up **detected**.
  - $\circ~$  Superlinear effect due to decreasing problem size per process.
  - $\circ~\mbox{Performance}$  significantly  $\mbox{improves}$  when the problem

#### fits into the L3 cache.



[1] J. J. Williams et al. "Leveraging HPC Profiling & Tracing Tools to Understand the Performance of Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Simulations." Euro-Par 2023: Parallel Processing Workshops: Euro-Par 2023 International Workshops, Limassol, Cyprus, August 28–September 1, 2023, Revised Selected Papers, Part I, LNCS 14351. Springer Nature

2024-09-26



# **IPM & Darshan**



## **Evaluation:** IPM<sup>[1]</sup>

individually sorted indices

- Offers selectable detail levels at runtime with text and web reports.
- Scalable and requires no source code modification.



Used in HPC centers, application development, debugging, and academia.



Ionization (1 node): load\_multi (memory, flops, timings)

[1] J. J. Williams et al. "Leveraging HPC Profiling & Tracing Tools to Understand the Performance of Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Simulations." Euro-Par 2023: Parallel Processing Workshops: Euro-Par 2023 International Workshops, Limassol, Cyprus, August 28–September 1, 2023, Revised Selected Papers, Part I, LNCS 14351. Springer Nature



## **Evaluation:** Darshan<sup>[1]</sup>

- Performance monitoring tool
- Designed for analyzing serial and parallel I/O workloads
- Used for investigating and tuning I/O behavior

### **Ionization I/O performance estimate (1 Node)**



### Ionization timespan for read and writes (1 Node)



[1] J. J. Williams et al. "Leveraging HPC Profiling & Tracing Tools to Understand the Performance of Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Simulations." Euro-Par 2023: Parallel Processing Workshops: Euro-Par 2023 International Workshops, Limassol, Cyprus, August 28–September 1, 2023, Revised Selected Papers, Part I, LNCS 14351. Springer Nature

J. J. Williams - Workshop: Digital Twins for Future Fusion Reactors - University of Ljubljana

DARSHAN

HPC I/O Characterization Tool



# **Results:** Leveraging HPC Profiling and Tracing Tools<sup>[1]</sup>



#### Workload imbalance:

Boundary MPI ranks (0 and 19199) 2x longer MPI times due to

MPI Wait synchronization.

**Node Memory Usage:** 

- Varying memory use (~ 23% difference)
  - Largest is ~34 GB and Smallest is ~26 GB.



#### **Darshan:** Evaluate I/O Performance



Peak I/O write bandwidth linked to problem size.

Post-peak, performance drops from metadata writing cost.



[1] J. J. Williams et al. "Leveraging HPC Profiling & Tracing Tools to Understand the Performance of Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Simulations." Euro-Par 2023: Parallel Processing Workshops: Euro-Par 2023 International Workshops, Limassol, Cyprus, August 28–September 1, 2023, Revised Selected Papers, Part I, LNCS 14351. Springer Nature

J. J. Williams - Workshop: Digital Twins for Future Fusion Reactors - University of Ljubljana

100

Metadata



## **Evaluation:** Empowering (CPU/GPU) BIT1 Original Simulations<sup>[1]</sup>



[1] J. J. Williams, et al. "Optimizing BIT1, a Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Code, with OpenMP/OpenACC and GPU Acceleration." 24th International Conference on Computational Science, Málaga, Spain, July 2-4, 2024, Part I, LNCS 14832. Springer Nature.

2024-09-26



## Evaluation: HPC Analysis<sup>[1]</sup> and Empowering BIT1 Simulations<sup>[2]</sup>

### gprof: Reports Frequently Used Functions [1]



arrj() – Particle Arrangement within appropriate cells and ranks.

move0() / moveb() - Particle Pusher (Mover)

bincc\_dspf() - Binary Collision Operator



[1] J. J. Williams et al. "Leveraging HPC Profiling & Tracing Tools to Understand the Performance of Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Simulations." Euro-Par 2023: Parallel Processing Workshops:

Euro-Par 2023 International Workshops, Limassol, Cyprus, August 28–September 1, 2023, Revised Selected Papers, Part I, LNCS 14351. Springer Nature

[2] J. J. Williams, et al. "Optimizing BIT1, a Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Code, with OpenMP/OpenACC and GPU Acceleration." 24th International Conference on Computational Science, Málaga, Spain, July 2-4, 2024, Part I, LNCS 14832. Springer Nature.

2024-09-26



## **Evaluation:** Empowering BIT1 Simulations

### **OpenMP** (Open Multi-Processing) and **OpenACC** (Open Accelerators) Directives<sup>[1]</sup>

OpenMP Tasks	OpenACC Multicore	OpenMP Target	OpenACC Parallel
<pre>1 #pragma omp parallel shared(chsp, sn2d, dinj, nstep, np, x, yp, yx, vy) \ 2 private(isp, i, j) firstprivate(nsp, nc) 3 { 4 #pragma omp single 5 { 6 for (isp = 0; isp &lt; nsp; isp++) { 7 8 #pragma omp taskloop grainsize(500) nogroup 9 for (j = 0; j &lt; nc; j++) { 10 #pragma omp sind 11 for (i = 0; i &lt; np[isp][j]; i++) 12 x[isp][j][i] += nstep[isp] * vx[isp][j][i]; 13 } 14 15 #pragma omp taskloop grainsize(500) nogroup 16 for (j = 0; j &lt; nc; j++) 17 #pragma omp sind 18 for (i = 0; i &lt; np[isp][j]; i++) 19 x[isp][j][i] += nstep[isp] * vx[isp][j][i]; 19 } 11 } 12 } 13 } 14 } 15 #pragma omp sind 15 #pragma omp taskloop grainsize(500) nogroup 16 for (j = 0; j &lt; nc; j++) 17 #pragma omp sind 18 for (i = 0; i &lt; np[isp][j]; i++) 19 x[isp][j][i] += nstep[isp] * vx[isp][j][i]; 19 } 10 } 11 } 12 } 13 } 13 } 14 } 15 #pragma omp sind 15 #pragma omp sind 16 for (j = 0; j &lt; nc; j++) 17 #pragma omp sind 17 #pragma omp sind 18 for (i = 0; i &lt; np[isp][j]; i++) 19 x[isp][j][i] += nstep[isp] * vx[isp][j][i]; 19 } 10 } 10 \$ 11 \$ 12 \$ 12 \$ 13 \$ 13 \$ 14 \$ 15 \$ 15 \$ 15 \$ 15 \$ 15 \$ 15 \$ 15 \$ 15</pre>	<pre>1 #pragma acc parallel loop present(chsp[:lenA], 2 sn2d[:lenA], dinj[:lenA], nstep[:lenA], 3 np[:lenA][:lenB], x[:lenA][:lenB][:lenC], 4 yp[:lenA][:lenB][:lenC], 5 vx[:lenA][:lenB][:lenC], 6 vy[:lenA][:lenB][:lenC]) 7 { 8 for (isp = 0; isp &lt; nsp; isp++) { 9 10 #pragma acc loop gang vector 11 for (j = 0; j &lt; nc; j++) { 12 #pragma acc loop vector 13 for (i = 0; i &lt; np[isp][j]; i++) 14 x[isp][j][i] += nstep[isp] * vx[isp][j][i]; 15 } 16 17 #pragma acc loop gang vector 18 for (j = 0; j &lt; nc; j++) { 19 #pragma acc loop vector 19 for (i = 0; i &lt; np[isp][j]; i++) 10 for (i = 0; i &lt; np[isp][j]; i++) 11 x[isp][j][i] += nstep[isp] * vx[isp][j][i]; 12 } 13 } 14 } 15 } 16 } 17 for (i = 0; i &lt; np[isp][j]; i++) 18 for (j = 0; j &lt; nc; j++) { 19 #pragma acc loop vector 19 for (i = 0; i &lt; np[isp][j]; i++) 10 x[isp][j][i] += nstep[isp] * vx[isp][j][i]; 10 } 10 } 10 for (i = 0; i &lt; np[isp][j][i]; i++) 11 x[isp][j][i] += nstep[isp] * vx[isp][j][i]; 12 } 13 } 14 } 15 } 15 } 15 } 15 } 15 } 15 } 16 for (j = 0; j &lt; nc; j++) { 17 } 17 } 17 for (j = 0; j &lt; nc; j++) { 17 } 17 } 17 for (j = 0; j &lt; nc; j++) { 17 } 17 } 17 for (j = 0; j &lt; nc; j++) { 17 } 17 } 17 } 17 } 17 } 17 } 17 } 17 }</pre>	<pre>1 fpragma omp target enter data map(to: chsp[:lenA],</pre>	<pre>fpragma acc enter data copyin(chsp[:lenA], sn2d[:lenA], dinj[:lenA], nstep[:lenA], np[:lenA][:lenB], x[:lenA][:lenB][:lenC], yp[:lenA][:lenB][:lenC], vx[:lenA][:lenB][:lenC], vy[:lenA][:lenB][:lenC]) 7 { for (isp = 0; isp &lt; nsp; isp++) {</pre>

#### Multicore CPUs

17

#### **OpenMP** Tasks:

**Fine-grained** parallelism with **tasks** for **dynamic workload** distribution.

#### **OpenACC** Multicore:

Offers straightforward method for parallelizing code on multicore CPUs. 0

#### **GPU** Acceleration

#### **OpenMP** Target:

**Extension** of the **OpenMP** standard for **offloading computation** on **GPUs**. 0

#### **OpenACC** Parallel:

Offers a high-level directive-based approach for parallelizing code on GPUs. 0

[1] J. J. Williams, et al. "Optimizing BIT1, a Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Code, with OpenMP/OpenACC and GPU Acceleration." 24th International Conference on Computational Science, Málaga, Spain, July 2-4, 2024, Part I, LNCS 14832. Springer Nature.

2024-09-26

# **Results:** Hybrid MPI and OpenMP/OpenACC BIT1 on CPUs<sup>[1]</sup>

#### Improving Total Simulation and Mover Function



For 2 Ranks (Baseline), Hybrid MPI+OpenACC decreases:

• Total simulation time by 13.49% and Mover function time by 83.05%.

For **16 Ranks** (Baseline), **Hybrid** MPI+OpenACC **decreases**:

• **Total** simulation time by **9.54%** and **Mover** function time by **38.27%**.

#### Enhancing Communication Performance and Scalability



For **16 Ranks** vs **8 Ranks + 2 Threads**:

• Execution time decreased by 41.79%.

o Adding 2 threads to 8 Ranks significantly boosts BIT1 performance.

For 128 Ranks vs 8 Ranks + 16 Threads:

• Execution time decreased by 17.30%.

• Adding 16 threads to 8 Ranks demonstrates scalable BIT1 performance.

[1] J. J. Williams, et al. "Optimizing BIT1, a Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Code, with OpenMP/OpenACC and GPU Acceleration." 24th International Conference on Computational Science, Málaga, Spain, July 2-4, 2024, Part I, LNCS 14832. Springer Nature.

2024-09-26

VETENSKAP



## **Evaluation:** Accelerating BIT1 with GPUs<sup>[1]</sup>

### CPU (Host) vs GPU (Device)

Control	ALU	ALU
	ALU	ALU
Cache		



Have <b>powerful</b> Arithmetic Logic Units (ALUs)	Have <b>energy efficient</b> and <b>resourceful</b> ALUs
Have large caches	Have very <b>small</b> cache
Have a <b>sophisticated</b> control structure	Have a <b>basic simple</b> control structure
No processor threads to tolerate latencies	Have <b>massive number of</b> <b>threads</b> to tolerate latencies

#### A Simple GPU Accelerated Procedure



**CPU** + OpenACC + **GPU**[Co-processor] = **GPU** Acceleration

[1] J. J. Williams, et al. "Optimizing BIT1, a Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Code, with OpenMP/OpenACC and GPU Acceleration." 24th International Conference on Computational Science, Málaga, Spain, July 2-4, 2024, Part I, LNCS 14832. Springer Nature.

2024-09-26



## **Results:** Accelerating BIT1 with GPUs<sup>[1]</sup>

### NVIDIA Nsight Systems OpenACC Explicit



#### What?

• Explicitly (manually) control data movement from CPU to GPU.

#### Why?

Provides fine-grained control for optimizing specific data transfer

#### patterns.

### NVIDIA Nsight Systems OpenACC Unified Memory



#### What?

Runtime system automatically handles data movement from CPU to GPU.

#### Why?

 Minimizes manual intervention with limited fine-grained control for simpler data transfer.

[1] J. J. Williams, et al. "Optimizing BIT1, a Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Code, with OpenMP/OpenACC and GPU Acceleration." 24th International Conference on Computational Science, Málaga, Spain, July 2-4, 2024, Part I, LNCS 14832. Springer Nature.

2024-09-26



## **Results:** Accelerating BIT1 with GPUs<sup>[1]</sup>



### OpenMP/OpenACC Explicit

GPUs (OpenMP or OpenACC) usage increases execution times compared to 2 MPI and 16 MPI Ranks CPU-only Baseline.

• OpenMP Target with 2 GPUs shows notable execution time decrease, indicating improved performance with Multi-GPUs.

Data Transfer Problem Discovered [1]

 We should not copy/upload very large amounts of data from CPU to the GPU every time step. Explore New GPU Porting Possible Solution <sup>[2]</sup>
 Use CUDA streams and particle batch processing with OpenMP Target Multi-GPUs per node.

**OpenMP/OpenACC Unified Memory** 

[1] J. J. Williams, et al. "Optimizing BIT1, a Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Code, with OpenMP/OpenACC and GPU Acceleration." 24th International Conference on Computational Science, Málaga, Spain, July 2-4, 2024, Part I, LNCS 14832. Springer Nature.

[2] Steven WD Chien et al. "sputniPIC: an implicit particle-in-cell code for multi-GPU systems." 2020 IEEE 32nd International Symposium on Computer Architecture and High Performance Computing (SBAC-PAD). IEEE, 2020

2024-09-26



## Evaluation: BIT1 openPMD BP4 (CPU) Simulations<sup>[1]</sup>



[1] J. J. Williams et al. "Understanding the Impact of openPMD on BIT1, a Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Code, through Instrumentation, Monitoring, and In-Situ Analysis." Euro-Par 2024: Parallel Processing Workshops: Euro-Par 2024 International Workshops, Madrid, Spain, August 26–30, 2024. Springer Nature.

2024-09-26



## **Evaluation:** HPC Instrumenting, Profiling & Monitoring Tools<sup>[1]</sup>



[1] J. J. Williams et al. "Understanding the Impact of openPMD on BIT1, a Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Code, through Instrumentation, Monitoring, and In-Situ Analysis." Euro-Par 2024: Parallel Processing Workshops: Euro-Par 2024 International Workshops, Madrid, Spain, August 26–30, 2024. Springer Nature.

2024-09-26



# Darshan



We use **Darshan** to **evaluate** the I/O performance of **BIT1** in terms of **write bandwidth**.



📕 Ionization 📕 Sheath

- Both cases: Write bandwidth increases and then saturates.
- Peak I/O write bandwidth linked to problem size.
- Post-peak, performance **drops** from **metadata** writing cost.

DARSHAN HPC I/O Characterization Tool

[1] J. J. Williams et al. "Leveraging HPC Profiling & Tracing Tools to Understand the Performance of Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Simulations." Euro-Par 2023: Parallel Processing Workshops: Euro-Par 2023 International Workshops, Limassol, Cyprus, August 28–September 1, 2023, Revised Selected Papers, Part I, LNCS 14351. Springer Nature



## **Results:** Enabling BIT1 and Parallel I/O Implementation<sup>[1]</sup>

## Original File I/O Write Throughput





Number of MPI Processes



open Particle-Mesh Data

Dardel CPU LFS outperforms Discoverer and Vega, achieving the highest overall write throughput.

BIT1 Original File I/O: Achieves peak throughput of 0.74 GiB/s at 40 nodes.

BIT1 openPMD BP4: Maintains stable performance, suitable for tasks requiring high-throughput and efficiency.



Adaptable Input/Output System 2

[1] J. J. Williams et al. Enabling High-throughput Parallel I/O in Particle-In-Cell Monte Carlo Simulations with openPMD and Darshan I/O Monitoring. In: 2024 IEEE International Conference on Cluster Computing Workshops (CLUSTER Workshops). Kobe, Japan. IEEE (2024)

2024-09-26



# gprof



We use **gprof** to understand where further **BIT1 optimizations** should be carried out to **maximize** the performance gain.



arrj() – Particle Arrangement within appropriate cells and ranks.

move() / moveb() - Particle Pusher

bincc\_dspf() - Binary Collision Operator



[1] J. J. Williams et al. "Leveraging HPC Profiling & Tracing Tools to Understand the Performance of Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Simulations." Euro-Par 2023: Parallel Processing Workshops: Euro-Par 2023 International Workshops, Limassol, Cyprus, August 28–September 1, 2023, Revised Selected Papers, Part I, LNCS 14351. Springer Nature

2024-09-26



## **Results:** BIT1 openPMD BP4 Execution and Runtime Profiling<sup>[1,2]</sup>



**gprof:** Reports Frequently Used Functions

### Parallel I/O Write Throughput on Dardel CPU



In the original BIT1, the "arrj" function uses 75.5% of the time, dropping to 65.5% with openPMD BP4.

Integrating **openPMD** with **ADIOS2 BP4** significantly reduces metadata overhead

from 17.868 seconds to 0.014 seconds per process (99.92% reduction).



Write operation time also decreases from 1.043 seconds to 0.009 seconds per process (99.14% reduction). Adaptable Input/Output System 2

[1] J. J. Williams et al. Enabling High-throughput Parallel I/O in Particle-In-Cell Monte Carlo Simulations with openPMD and Darshan I/O Monitoring. In: 2024 IEEE International Conference on Cluster Computing Workshops (CLUSTER Workshops). Kobe, Japan. IEEE (2024)

[2] J. J. Williams et al. "Understanding the Impact of openPMD on BIT1, a Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Code, through Instrumentation, Monitoring, and In-Situ Analysis." Euro-Par 2024: Parallel Processing Workshops: Euro-Par 2024 International Workshops, Madrid, Spain, August 26–30, 2024. Springer Nature.

2024-09-26

open

open Particle-Mesh Data



# **CrayPat & Apprentice2**



# Evaluation: \*CrayPat & Apprentice2<sup>[1]</sup>

- CrayPat & Apprentice2: parallel application performance tool offered by Cray
- CrayPat: Provides detailed information about overall application performance
- Apprentice2: GUI tool used to visualize performance data instrumented by CrayPat





#### \*Cray Performance Measurement and Analysis Toolset (CrayPat)

[1] J. J. Williams et al. "Understanding the Impact of openPMD on BIT1, a Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Code, through Instrumentation, Monitoring, and In-Situ Analysis." Euro-Par 2024: Parallel Processing Workshops: Euro-Par 2024 International Workshops, Madrid, Spain, August 26–30, 2024. Springer Nature.

2024-09-26





## Evaluation: BIT1 openPMD BP4 Instrumentation and Profiling<sup>[1]</sup>

We use CrayPAT to instrument the code and Apprentice2 for interactive performance analysis and visualization.

#### 🗛 🔍 🗛 🚺 📰 🔻 Overview Profile --- Whole Program ---CPU adios2::helper::Comm::lrecv<> adios2::helper::CommRegImpIMPI::Wait =5.55% [0] adios2::format::BP4Serializer::AggregateCollectiveMetadata =9.36% Computation **Function/Region Profile** Memory Utilization 74.38% 25.4% = MPI\_Wait =9.729 Process HiMem = 252.0 MiBytes 19.8% = arrj 11.9% = adios2::format::... llectiveMetadata Programming Model 25.62% =5.189 10011 =25.48Load Imbalance Data Movement (samples) No data collected **Energy Usage** 10307.7 = adios2::transpor...ilePOSIX::Write 7155.7 = adios2::helper::Comm::Irecv<> No Energy Data Collected. 5798.7 = adios2::helper:... qImplMPI::Wait

[1] J. J. Williams et al. "Understanding the Impact of openPMD on BIT1, a Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Code, through Instrumentation, Monitoring, and In-Situ Analysis." Euro-Par 2024: Parallel Processing Workshops: Euro-Par 2024 International Workshops, Madrid, Spain, August 26–30, 2024. Springer Nature.



## **Results:** BIT1 openPMD BP4 Instrumentation and Profiling<sup>[2]</sup>



[1] J. J. Williams et al. "Understanding the Impact of openPMD on BIT1, a Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Code, through Instrumentation, Monitoring, and In-Situ Analysis." Euro-Par 2024: Parallel Processing Workshops: Euro-Par 2024 International Workshops, Madrid, Spain, August 26–30, 2024. Springer Nature.

2024-09-26



# IPM



## **Evaluation:** BIT1 Integrated Performance Monitoring and Profiling<sup>[1]</sup>

We use **IPM** to **understand** the parallel and **MPI** performance of BIT1.



[1] J. J. Williams et al. "Leveraging HPC Profiling & Tracing Tools to Understand the Performance of Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Simulations." Euro-Par 2023: Parallel Processing Workshops: Euro-Par 2023 International Workshops, Limassol, Cyprus, August 28–September 1, 2023, Revised Selected Papers, Part I, LNCS 14351. Springer Nature

2024-09-26



## **Results:** BIT1 Integrated Performance Monitoring and Profiling<sup>[1]</sup>



PMD PMD

"MPI\_Gatherv" (67.65%) dominates communication time, suggesting a need to optimize data gathering processes.



"MPI\_Recv" (19.04%) and "MPI\_Wait" (5.76%) times suggest inefficiencies in message handling and synchronization

#### open Particle-Mesh Data

Memory usage per node is balanced, ranging from 29 GB to 33 GB.



[1] J. J. Williams et al. "Understanding the Impact of openPMD on BIT1, a Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Code, through Instrumentation, Monitoring, and In-Situ Analysis." Euro-Par 2024: Parallel Processing Workshops: Euro-Par 2024 International Workshops, Madrid, Spain, August 26–30, 2024. Springer Nature.

2024-09-26



# **Real-Time Checkpoint (In-Situ) Analysis**



## **Evaluation:** BIT1 Original Data Analysis<sup>[1]</sup>

#### **BIT1: 2D Time Evolution**

X: CPU | Y: Simulation Particles



#### **BIT1: 3D Time Evolution**

X: CPU | Y: Simulation Particles | Z: Time [ns]



[1] J. J. Williams et al. "Leveraging HPC Profiling & Tracing Tools to Understand the Performance of Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Simulations." Euro-Par 2023: Parallel Processing Workshops: Euro-Par 2023 International Workshops, Limassol, Cyprus, August 28–September 1, 2023, Revised Selected Papers, Part I, LNCS 14351. Springer Nature



## Evaluation: BIT1 openPMD BP4 Real-Time Checkpoint (In-Situ) Analysis<sup>[1]</sup>



[1] J. J. Williams et al. "Understanding the Impact of openPMD on BIT1, a Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Code, through Instrumentation, Monitoring, and In-Situ Analysis." Euro-Par 2024: Parallel Processing Workshops: Euro-Par 2024 International Workshops, Madrid, Spain, August 26–30, 2024. Springer Nature.

2024-09-26



## Evaluation: BIT1 openPMD BP4 Real-Time Checkpoint (In-Situ) Analysis<sup>[1]</sup>



[1] J. J. Williams et al. "Understanding the Impact of openPMD on BIT1, a Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo Code, through Instrumentation, Monitoring, and In-Situ Analysis." Euro-Par 2024: Parallel Processing Workshops: Euro-Par 2024 International Workshops, Madrid, Spain, August 26–30, 2024. Springer Nature.

2024-09-26



# **Conclusion and Current Work**



### Performance Insights Revealed:

 Profiling tools highlight efficiency improvements in data management and processing compared to the original BIT1 setup.

### Data Format Standardization Improved:

 openPMD enhances accuracy and efficiency in plasma-material interaction studies, advancing the development of fusion device designs.

### Key Enhancements Achieved:

- ADIOS2 BP4 backend integration improves write throughput bottlenecks.
- Enhanced visualization and in-situ analysis have reduced post-processing times and provided realtime insights without system runtime interruptions.



## Current Work: Enabling BIT1\* and Exascale Workflow





## **Current Work**

### **Explore and Introduce Hybrid Approaches**:

- Maximize Performance: Combine MPI with Thread/Multicore Utilization and openPMD.
- Scalable Optimization: Balance Hybrid Strategy & Reduce Execution Time.

## Optimizing Data Transfer with Asynchronous Execution<sup>[1]</sup>:

 Asynchronous Kernel Offloading (OpenACC and OpenMP): Improve data transfer speed and efficiency in the HPC system (e.g., memory, storage, network, etc.).



[1] Performance Optimisation and Productivity, A Centre of Excellence in HPC, Accessed 30 August 2024. https://pop-coe.eu/blog/26th-pop-webinar-asynchronous-gpu-programming-in-openmp



## **Current Work**

## Extend openPMD Supported Features:

 Work on checkpoint restart and particle load balancing with openPMD to extend support for exascale computing and improve plasma-material interface control and system resilience.

### • Optimize MPI Communication and Improve Disk Storage:

- Focus on **reducing** and **improving** MPI **communication overhead** on **large runs**.
- Explore integrating high-performance streaming with the Sustainable Staging Transport (SST) backend, extending real-time checkpoint analysis capabilities in memory rather than file I/O.





### Introduce / Enable Enhanced Features with the SST backend:

• Explore **in-situ visualization** using **ParaView Catalyst 2** and **ADIOS2** to support **real-time** debugging.









This project has received funding from the European High-Performance Computing Joint Undertaking (JU) under grant agreement No 101093261. The JU receives support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme and Sweden, Germany, France, Spain, Finland, the Czech Republic, Slovenia, and Greece.