

Towards Coleman-like description of vacuum decay in the transverse field Ising model ^{Yutaro Shoji} Work in progress with G. Lagnese

Belica workshop, 2-4.10 2024

Introduction

Transverse field Ising model

1D spin chain system



$$+ h_{x}\sigma_{n}^{x} + h_{z}\sigma_{n}^{z}) \qquad 0 < h_{x} < 1$$

$$[\sigma_{n}^{x}, \sigma_{n}^{z}] \neq 0 \qquad h_{z} < 0$$

$$Non-trivial evolution \qquad E$$



(It finally returns to the original state due to the quantum recurrence theorem but after a long long time) Quantum simulations are available and it will be possibly realized in a real system



Vacuum decay rate in spin-chain vs in QFT

Spin-chain

 $E = E^{(0)} + E^{(1)} + E^{(2)} + \cdots$

Non-local interaction $E^{(2)} = -\Delta E \sum_{l} \frac{|\langle 0 | V_{\text{int}} | \phi_l \rangle|^2}{E_l - i\gamma}$ (Fermionic operators)

(False vacuum energy = 0)

 $\Gamma = -2 \text{Im}E$

 $\Delta E = 2 |h_{z}| (1 - h_{x}^{2})^{1/8}$

 γ : Decay rate of $|\phi_l\rangle$ (Phenomenological parameter)

The decay rate is independent of γ if $\gamma \gg \Delta E$

What is the relation between them? Can we "test" the vacuum decay in QFT using spin-chain?



Contents

- Introduction
- Vacuum decay in spin-chain
- Towards the path integral formalism
- Summary

Vacuum decay in spin-chain

Why Fermions? Why non-local?

Fock state

$$a_{n}^{\dagger} |\downarrow \dots \downarrow \rangle = |\downarrow \dots \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \dots \downarrow \rangle$$

$$\{a_{n}, a_{n}^{\dagger}\} = 1$$

$$\{a_{n}, a_{n}\} = \{a_{n}^{\dagger}, a_{n}^{\dagger}\} = 0$$
Fermionic on the same site

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_n, a_m^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad (n \neq m) \\ \begin{bmatrix} a_n, a_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_n^{\dagger}, a_m^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix} = 0 \quad \begin{cases} \text{Bosonic} \\ \text{on different sites} \end{cases}$$

Jordan-Wigner transformation



Pair creation of walls





50

Vacuum decay in spin-chain





Can we describe this decay in a field theoretical way?

2nd order perturbation

$$E = E^{(0)} + E^{(1)} + E^{(2)} + \cdots$$

Fermionic, non-local
$$E^{(2)} = -\Delta E \sum_{l} \frac{|\langle 0 | V_{int} | \phi_l \rangle|^2}{E_l - i\gamma}$$

Given outside of the
$$\Gamma = -2 \text{Im}E$$

$$\Delta E = 2 |h_z| (1 - h_x^2)^{1/8}$$

 γ : Decay rate of $|\phi_l\rangle$

heory

Towards the path-integral formalism

The first step

Problem 1:

Cohere

$$|z\rangle \equiv |z_1 \cdots z_N\rangle = e^{\sum_j z_j a_j^{\dagger}} |\downarrow \cdots \downarrow\rangle$$

The first step

$$\langle 0_+ | e^{-HT} | 0_+ \rangle \simeq e^{-E_0 T}$$

Problem 1: States are discrete (either up or down)

★ Coherent state path integral

$$|z\rangle \equiv |z_1 \cdots z_N\rangle = e^{\sum_j z_j a_j^{\dagger}} |\downarrow \cdots \downarrow\rangle$$

$$\langle f | e^{-HT} | i \rangle = \left[\prod_{n=0}^{M} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}z_{n,j} \mathrm{d}\bar{z}_{n,j}}{\pi i (1+|z_{n,j}|^2)^2} \right] \frac{\langle f | z|}{\sqrt{\langle z_N \rangle}}$$

$$\simeq \frac{\langle z_{n+1} | z_n \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle z_{n+1} | z_{n+1} \rangle} \sqrt{\langle z_n | z_n \rangle}} \left(1 - \frac{\langle z_{n+1} | H |}{\langle z_{n+1} | z_n \rangle} \right)$$



The second step

$$\frac{\langle z_{n+1} | z_n \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle z_{n+1} | z_{n+1} \rangle} \sqrt{\langle z_n | z_n \rangle}} \left(1 - \frac{\langle z_{n+1} | H | z_n \rangle}{\langle z_{n+1} | z_n \rangle} \delta \tau \right) \not = \left[1 - \frac{\delta \tau}{1 + |z_n|^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} (\bar{z}_n \partial_\tau z_n - z_n \partial_\tau \bar{z}_n) + H(z_n, \bar{z}_n) \right) \right]$$

because $Z_{n+1} \not \to Z_n$ as $\delta \tau \to 0$

Problem 2: Paths are not continuous



Not sure whether this really causes a problem

Problem 3: Off-diagonal elem. of Hamiltonian

 $\langle z_{n+1} | H | z_n \rangle$

Then, it is complex and also it does not fit the path integral form

$$\langle 0_{+} | e^{-HT} | 0_{+} \rangle = \int \mathscr{D}\overline{z} \mathscr{D}z e^{-\sum_{n} L(\overline{z}_{n}, z_{n})\delta\tau}$$

 \star We found a transformation such that

 $\tilde{H}(\tilde{z}_n, \bar{\tilde{z}}_n) = \langle \tilde{z}_n | H | \tilde{z}_n \rangle + (\text{Jacobian})$



The third step

Question: Is there a saddle point of the action described by a bounce?

$$0_{+} | e^{-HT} | 0_{+} \rangle = \int \mathscr{D}\bar{\tilde{z}} \mathscr{D}\tilde{z} e^{-\sum_{n} K(\tilde{\tilde{z}}_{n+1}, \tilde{z}_{n}) - \sum_{n} \tilde{H}(\tilde{z}_{n+1}, \tilde{z}_{$$



~This is work in progress.~

 $\frac{1 + \bar{z}_{j,n+1} z_{j,n}}{\sqrt{1 + |z_{j,n}|^2} \sqrt{1 + |z_{j,n}|^2}} \le 1$

Summary

- Vacuum decay in one-dimensional system can be simulated directly and also may be explicit check of the theory of vacuum decay.
- understood. (There may be a mismatch of the prefactor.)
- explicitly.

observed in real system (and there is already one for thermal decay). It may provide an

• The relation between vacuum decay in the 1D spin system and in the QFT is still not well

• We are trying to develop a bosonic path integral formalism for the spin-chain to look for a bounce-like saddle point of the action. Once it is found, we can also calculate the prefactor