# MET + bb and its backgrounds **Brda Workshop 2024**

Arman Korajac, IJS

4.10.2024

### Outline

- MET + bb signature outside of and in SM
- Relevant SM backgrounds (Z, W, tt)
- Selection cuts
- Training BDTs for optimizing the search
- Conclusions



Imbalance in the transverse momentum

MET = Missing Transverse Energy MET  $E_T^{\text{miss}}$  and  $p_T^{\text{miss}} = |\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}|$  are related:  $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ 

What causes non-zero MET?

- Things that fly out/do not interact with the detector components.
- **Neutrinos**  $\bullet$
- Dark Matter (DM) candidates lacksquare
- Soft objects (calorimeter energy deposits or tracks, not associated to physics objects)



$$\sqrt{(p_T^{\rm miss})^2 + m^2}$$

T Han (2005)





### The basics **b**-jets

- Requiring b-jets from a flavor-physics point of view:
  - Realization of couplings in SM-like fashion for NP
  - Flavor scenarios e.g. U(2)
  - Avoiding stringent bounds for light-quark families

### MET + bb outside of SM



• Semi-invisible decays (SUSY) lacksquare

![](_page_4_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Picture_5.jpeg)

ATLAS 2101.12527

![](_page_4_Picture_7.jpeg)

### MET + bb outside of SM

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Semi-invisible decays (SUSY) ullet

DM models

![](_page_5_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Semi-invisible decays (SUSY)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi} \supset \bar{\chi}(g_{\chi}^{S} + ig_{\chi}^{P}\gamma_{5})\chi\phi + \sum_{X} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{X} \frac$$

## MET + bb in SM

the main sources of background:

$$Z(\rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu}) + \text{jets}$$
$$W(\rightarrow \ell \nu) + \text{jets}$$
$$t\bar{t}, t \rightarrow Wb \rightarrow \ell \nu b$$

![](_page_7_Figure_3.jpeg)

### Some of the SM processes that produce the same signature, which are

# Extracting the relevant signal events

Number of baseline leptons  $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$  $N_{\rm jets}$  $N_{b-jets}$  $p_{\rm T}(j_1)$  $p_{\mathrm{T}}(j_2)$  $\min[\Delta \phi(\mathbf{p}_{1-3}^{\text{jet}}, \mathbf{p}_{T}^{\text{miss}})] > 0.4$ S > 7  $p_{\rm T}(j_1)/H_{\rm T}$ 

ATLAS 2101.12527

- > 180 GeV 2 - 3> 2
  - > 100 GeV
    - > 50 GeV
  - > 0.7

![](_page_8_Figure_9.jpeg)

# **Extracting the relevant signal events**

Number of baseline leptons  $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$  $N_{\rm jets}$ N<sub>b-jets</sub>  $p_{\rm T}(j_1)$  $p_{\mathrm{T}}(j_2)$  $\min[\Delta \phi(\mathbf{p}_{1-3}^{\text{jet}}, \mathbf{p}_{\text{T}}^{\text{miss}})] > 0.4$  $p_{\rm T}(j_1)/H_{\rm T}$ 

### ATLAS 2101.12527

- Reducing W, top bckg () >  $180 \text{ GeV} \longrightarrow \text{Reducing soft physics}$ 2 - 3Reducing tt bckg
  - > 2
  - > 100 GeV
    - > 50 GeV
  - > 0.7

**QCD** suppression

![](_page_9_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_0.jpeg)

- Cuts on the decision tree are chosen to maximize the separation gain of each node
- sep. gain = gain(parent node) gain(daughter node1) gain(daughter node2)

![](_page_10_Figure_4.jpeg)

$$p = S/B$$

![](_page_10_Figure_6.jpeg)

- Initialize *N* trees
- Calculate the error for

- Update (boost) the weights of the trees:  $W_i^{(j+)}$
- where  $\alpha_i$  is the BDT output score:

 $\alpha_j$  =

is given as:

$$h_{fin}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) = \frac{1}{N_{\text{trees}}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\text{trees}}} \alpha_{j} h_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{i})$$

each tree j (j = 
$$1, ..., N$$
):

 $\varepsilon_j = \frac{\sum_{y_i \neq h_j(\mathbf{x}_i)} w_i^{(j)}}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i^{(j)}}$ 

weight sum of misidentified events

sum of all weights

$$(1) = w_i^{(j)} e^{\alpha_j}$$

$$=\beta\ln\frac{1-\varepsilon_j}{\varepsilon_j}$$

• The final decision output value (> 0.5 if signal, <0.5 if bckg) for the event i

- source)
- include:

 $p_T(j_2), p_T(j_3), p_T$ 

 $\min[\Delta\phi(\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}, \vec{p}_T^{1,2,3})], |\Delta\phi_{bb}|, m_{CT}^{j_1 j_2}, H_T$ 

E. J. Thorpe - PhD Thesis

 Variables chosen such that they do not correlate too much with each other (provide relevant information to the BDT)

• For different backgrounds, train different BDTs (i.e. one BDT for each background

Variables that the BDTs were trained on

$$(b_1), E_T^{\text{miss}}, S, \delta^-, \delta^+, p_T(j_1)/H_T$$

• Finally, choose cuts on the BDT output scores to successfully enhance the S/B ratio

 Results from ATLAS for the separation from the ttbar background:

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

E. J. Thorpe - PhD Thesis

 Results from ATLAS for the separation from the ttbar background:

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

E. J. Thorpe - PhD Thesis

- The variable with the highest feature importance are  $\min \left[ \Delta \phi(\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}, \vec{p}_{T, i}^{1, 2, 3}) \right], p_T(b_1), m_{\text{CT}}^{j_1 j_2}, S$
- where:

 $S = E_T^{\text{miss}} / \sigma_{\text{MET}}$ 

• For practical purposes, in ATLAS:

$$S = E_T^{\rm miss} / \sqrt{\sum E_T}$$

ATLAS Collab., <u>1609.09324</u> 1802.08168 2402.05858

• The contransverse mass is given as:  $m_{\text{CT}}^2(v_1, v_2) = [E_{\text{T}}(v_1) + E_{\text{T}}(v_2)]^2 - [\mathbf{p}_{\text{T}}(v_1) - \mathbf{p}_{\text{T}}(v_1)]^2$ 

$$m_{\rm CT}^{\rm max} = (m_I^2 - m_X^2)/m_I$$
  $I = t, X = W$ 

![](_page_15_Figure_10.jpeg)

$$\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T}}(v_2)]^2$$

### • The variable with the highest feature importance are

 $\min \left[ \Delta \phi(\vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}, \vec{p}_{T,i}^{1,2,3}) \right], p_T(b_1), m_{\text{CT}}^{j_1 j_2}, S$ 

• The contransverse mass is given as:  $m_{\text{CT}}^2(v_1, v_2) = [E_{\text{T}}(v_1) + E_{\text{T}}(v_2)]^2 - [\mathbf{p}_{\text{T}}(v_1) - \mathbf{p}_{\text{T}}(v_2)]^2$ 

$$m_{\rm CT}^{\rm max} = (m_I^2 - m_X^2)/m_I$$
  $I = t, X = W$ 

![](_page_16_Figure_5.jpeg)

 $m_{\rm CT}^{\rm max,t\bar{t}} \sim 135 \,{\rm GeV}$ 

- We generate the signal samples (pp to chi chi bb) with the DMSimp FeynRules UFO model, using MG5 + Pythia8 + Delphes
- We employ the same tools for the background, the tt samples have also been generated with POWHEG and the hvq model
- Create custom analysis using upROOT
- Utilize ATLAS OpenData for analysis frameworks, generated background events and codes

https://opendata.atlas.cern/

https://github.com/atlas-outreach-data-tools/notebooks-collection-opendata/

Y. Afik, F. Maltoni et al (2018)

S. Frixione, P. Nason, G. Ridolfi (2009)

### Initial

No leptons and  $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 180 \,{\rm GeV}$ 

Number of jets ( $p_T > 35 \text{ GeV}$ ) Number of *b*-jets min[ $\Delta \phi$ (jet<sub>1-3</sub>,  $\mathbf{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ )] S  $\frac{p_T(j_1)}{H_T}$ BDT selections  $\cos \theta_{bb}^*$ 

bin0 bin

0.6 0

ATLAS 2101.12527

			95270	
			897	
			495	
			76	
			67	
			64	
			31	
Another useful variable			5.6	
(pseudo-)scalars, does suffer from cuts in trans	bin4	bin3	bin2	n1
plane	1.9	1.2	1.1	.8
M. R. Buckley and D. Goncalves U. Haisch, P. Pani and G. Polese				

### (2016) Ilo (2017)

![](_page_18_Figure_9.jpeg)

### Initial

No leptons and  $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 180 \,{\rm GeV}$ 

Number of jets ( $p_T > 35 \text{ GeV}$ ) Number of *b*-jets min[ $\Delta \phi$ (jet<sub>1-3</sub>,  $\mathbf{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ )] S  $\frac{p_T(j_1)}{H_T}$ BDT selections  $\cos \theta_{bb}^*$ 

bin0 bi

0.6

ATLAS 2101.12527

	95270			-	
	897				
	495				6
	76			<b>&gt;</b>	
	67				
	64				
	31				
	5.6			_	
n1	bin2	bin3	bin4	-	
).8	1.1	1.2	1.9	-	

![](_page_19_Picture_8.jpeg)

### Initial

No leptons and  $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 180 \,{\rm GeV}$ 

Number of jets ( $p_T > 35 \text{ GeV}$ ) Number of *b*-jets min[ $\Delta \phi$ (jet<sub>1-3</sub>,  $\mathbf{p}_T^{\text{miss}}$ )] S  $\frac{p_T(j_1)}{H_T}$ BDT selections  $\cos \theta_{bb}^*$ 

bin0 bi

0.6

ATLAS 2101.12527

	05070		
	95270		
	897		
	495		
	76		
	67		
	64		
	31		
	5.6	_	
n1	bin2	bin3	bin4
).8	1.1	1.2	1.9

![](_page_20_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

### After we do this...

- Test different models with invisible decays (see Patrick Bolton's talk)
- Not necessarily rely on MET + bb signature. E.g. recasts and bounds on NP from MET + jet(s) exist already, or MET + top(s). Hiller, Wendler, 2403.17063
- Not necessarily rely on scalar and pseudoscalar mediators (e.g. vector) mediators could also be tested)
- Combine with low-energy observables

# Thank you for your attention!

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

### 4FS vs 5FS

- 4FS calculations are the most precise at fixed order (i.e. w/o a parton shower)
  - b-quark mass effects taken into account
  - The processes can be generated down to any energies
- Calculation with a certain number of jets at fixed order is reliable only if there are no scale hierarchies

Bredenstein, Denner, Dittmaier, Pozzorini (2008) Bredenstein, Denner, Dittmaier, Pozzorini (2009) Bevilacqua, Czakon, Papadopoulos, Pittau, Worek (2009) Buccioni, Kallweit, Pozzorini, Zoller (2019) Bredenstein, Denner, Dittmaier, Pozzorini (2010) Denner, Lang, Pellen (2021) Bevilacqua, Bi, Hartanto, Kraus, Lupattelli, Worek (2021) Bevilacqua, Bi, Hartanto, Kraus, Lupattelli, Worek (2023)

![](_page_25_Picture_10.jpeg)

### 4FS

- For example, *ttbb* production is a multi-scale process
  - Large mass difference between the top and bottom-quarks -> large logarithms log^n  $(m_b / \sqrt{s})$
  - Difficult to choose optimal renormalisation and factorisation scales
  - Need a very small muR and muF != muR

H

see discussion in the LHC Higgs Xsec WG report arXiv:1610.07922

### **4FS**

- Challenges arise when matching to a parton shower
  - PS radiation can produce additional b-quarks
  - Jets generated by the shower can be harder than the ME-level bottom quarks
  - Need only the subleading b-quarks to come from the PS but not the leading ones
  - Poorly understood how the PS radiation should be constraint

Cascioli, Maierhöfer, Moretti, Pozzorini, Siegert (2014) Ježo, Lindert, Moretti, Pozzorini (2018)

### 5FS

- In 5FS one has to generate an inclusive DM DMbar + jets sample
  - b-jets are selected only after parton showering Frixione, Nason, Webber (2003) Frixione, Nason, Ridolfi (2007) Hoeche, Krauss, Maierhoefer, Pozzorini, Schonherr, Siegert (2015) Mazzitelli, Monni, Nason, Re, Wiesemann, Zanderighi (2022)
- 5FS: massless b-quarks
  - Large logarithms do not arise in the ME
  - Large scale hierarchies between the top quarks and the jets can be resummed by a multijet merging
- Multi-jet merging: combination of events with different jet multiplicities
  - For example, FxFx merging in MadGraph5\_aMC@NLO

![](_page_28_Picture_8.jpeg)